

Marek KWIEK

**Social Perceptions vs. Economic Returns
from Higher Education: the Bologna Process
and the Bachelor Degree in Poland**

CPP RPS Volume 24 (2011)

Correspondence to the Author:

Professor Dr. hab. Marek Kwiek
Center for Public Policy Studies (CPP), Director
Poznan University
ul. Szamarzewskiego 89
60-569 Poznan, Poland
e-mail kwiekm@amu.edu.pl

A list of the Center for Public Policy Studies “Research Papers” and other currently published publications is available online at the CPP website
<http://www.cpp.amu.edu.pl/publications.htm>.

Hard copies of the research papers are available upon request

The Center for Public Policy Studies (CPP) is an autonomous research unit of Poznan University, Poland, founded in 2002. It focuses on research in social sciences, mostly through large-scale comparative European and international research projects. Its major areas of interest include: higher education policy and research in national, European and global perspectives; research and development policies; university management and governance; reforming higher education and its legislation in Central and Eastern Europe; higher education and regional development; public services; the processes of Europeanization and globalization; theories of the welfare state; theories of democracy, as well as political and economic transition in European postcommunist countries. See <http://www.cpp.amu.edu.pl/htm>.

The CPP Research Papers Series is intended to disseminate the findings of work in progress and to communicate preliminary research results to the academic community and the wider audience. Papers are subject to further revisions and therefore all comments and suggestions to authors are welcome.

MAREK KWIEK

Social Perceptions vs. Economic Returns from Higher Education: the Bologna Process and the Bachelor Degree in Poland

1. Introduction

The Bologna Process in Poland, as in Central Europe generally, was seen as an effective avenue to integrate Polish universities with their Western European counterparts.¹ Poland was among the initial signatory countries of the Bologna Declaration in 1999. In postcommunist Europe, the Bologna Process was often viewed as “a political option aiming at ingraining itself into European values” (Gorga 2007: 62). In the present chapter, the Bologna Process is viewed through the lenses of the end product of reform initiatives it has been promoting in the last decade: in this case, a coherent system of three degrees – the bachelor, the master, and the doctorate. And in particular, it is focused on the changing social and labor market perceptions of the bachelor degree during the implementation of the Bologna Process in Poland in the last decade.

In Poland, throughout the 2000s, one of the greatest challenges to the implementation of the requirements of the Bologna Process was the introduction of the three cycles of studies, and in particular – a clear separation of first and second degree studies. The master/bachelor split was present in Polish higher education already in the 1990s – but since its appearance, bachelor degrees had low social legitimacy and limited acceptance by the labor market as higher education credentials. The emergence of the bachelor degree in the 1990s was closely related to the emergence of the private sector in 1991, and its phenomenal growth in the following two decades. The history of the emergence of the private sector and policy attempts to keep 90 percent of private higher education institutions at the lower, bachelor’s level of studies (*studia licencjackie*) throughout the 1990s, as opposed to the public sector with master degrees offered (*studia magisterskie*), had exerted powerful influence on the implementation of the Bologna Process in the 2000s. And at the core of discussions about the Bologna Process, there were not ECTS points and the modularization of studies, or the internationalization of studies and student mobility, or the social dimension of studies and the

¹ The paper will be published in Kozma, T., Rebay, M. and Szolar, E. (eds.) *The Bologna Process in Central- and Eastern Europe*, Frankfurt and New York: Peter Lang, 2011

recognition of diplomas: the core of Polish discussions, for a number of years, was dominated by a single theme – the future of the bachelor degree in a country in which higher education credentials, for generations, meant the master degree and in which a lower level degree was offered throughout the 1990s by, generally, inferior by quality and academic standards, private higher education (see Kwiek 2007, 2011; Slantcheva and Levy 2007).

It was already clear in 2005 when a new Law on Higher Education was introduced that the future of the bachelor degree, a major component of Bologna reforms, would depend on its labor market acceptance. The paper claims that the Bologna-related developments leading to clear separation between first and second cycle of studies have been partly successful: while the social acceptance to the first degree is still low, and the vast majority of students express their willingness to continue their studies into the second degree, the response of the labor market, as viewed through recent empirical evidence, has been very positive. Much more positive than both educational research and labor market research was showing in the last few years (UNDP 2007).

The paper is divided into the following sections: the present introduction; the degree structure in Poland in a European comparative context; the bachelor degree in Poland from a historical comparative perspective: legal changes and institutional transformations; the degree structure and the labor market response: review of recent empirical evidence; the bachelor degree and private higher education; and conclusions.

The 1990 Law on Higher Education – which made possible a thorough transformation of Polish higher education system after the collapse of communism in 1989 – already at that time allowed for the introduction of bachelor and master degrees. In the vast majority of study areas in the public sector, though, studies have been offered in two cycles only following the 2005 Law on Higher Education which was prepared, inter alia, to allow the Polish system to be adjusted to the Bologna requirements. The bachelor degree emerged in the 1990s in the private sector, and in the 2000s, following the Bologna Process, a decade later. The two decades of interrelations between public and private sectors in higher education, of differences in social prestige of education derived from both sectors, and changing labor market attitudes to the bachelor degree in the last few years are important to understand the Bologna-related developments in Poland.

2. The degree structure in Poland in a European comparative context

Is the increasing acceptance of the bachelor degree in the Polish labor market (which will be shown in more detail further in the chapter) an indirect product of Bologna Process developments? The answer is positive: as long as the first degree was offered almost exclusively by the emergent private sector in higher education in the 1990s, it both had low social prestige and was refused as appropriate higher education credentials by the labor market. Recent national data tend to suggest that while the social prestige of the bachelor degree is still low (and Polish students report in comparative European surveys a very high level of willingness to continue their studies to get the master degree), its market value, viewed through the proxy of wage premium on higher education, is quite high. Consequently, especially if universal fees are introduced in the Polish system in the coming years, the bachelor degree may have much higher acceptance among students and graduates than previously thought – which would be in turn an undeniable success of the Bologna Process in practical terms.

The bachelor and master degree split widely accepted throughout Europe is one of the major impacts of recent higher education policies coordinated at the European intergovernmental level within the Bologna Process of integration of higher education. Although initially the Bologna Process was clearly an intergovernmental initiative, increasingly, in the second half of the 2000s, the role of the European Commission was becoming more and more important in it. Also the official references of the European Commission to the Bologna Process made it clear that it is viewed as an important component of the (supranational, rather than intergovernmental) Lisbon Strategy, now replaced with a new Europe 2020 strategy (see Maassen and Olsen 2008, Amaral, Neave, Musselin, and Maassen 2009, Kwiek and Maassen 2011). Not only other social strategies, including Education and Training 2010 (now replaced with Education and Training 2020) and European Social Strategy (ESS) were subsumed under an economic supranational Lisbon Strategy “for more growth and jobs” – but also the intergovernmental Bologna Process (an important part of which is a three-cycle structure of studies) was subsumed under overall economic strategies of the European Union. As commentators note, “the landscape of higher education is changing. Global forces are felt by all. Universities cannot ignore the Bologna meta-trends; it is a total package that embodies cultural and pedagogic shifts in order to embed learning outcomes, credit accumulation and transfer, qualifications frameworks at both national and supra-national levels” (Birtwistle

2009: 61). A consistent three-cycles study structure is a trademark of the Bologna Process in many countries. In Poland, the introduction of the structure, including the implementation of clearly distinct first and second degrees, was perhaps the greatest challenge of the process so far (now that the structure has been introduced throughout the system, the most challenging area for the future seems to be the lifelong learning agenda and the implementation of the national qualifications framework, related to European Qualifications Framework).

In some European countries, historically, the bachelor level of studies was sufficient for university graduates to enter the labor market with higher education credentials. In others, higher education credentials in the labor market meant the completion of studies at the master's level. Examples of the former countries included the UK and Ireland, as well as the USA, Canada, and Australia. Examples of the latter countries included in general those countries in which the traditional Humboldtian model of the university was particularly strong: the Czech Republic, Poland, Germany, Austria, or Switzerland. Former Yugoslav countries used "specialist" degrees, closer to master degrees, though. The historical differences continued until recently (and still do) and were expressed most often in the level of implementation of the new degree system (generally lower for the latter countries compared with other European systems), with different speed of the spread of the Bologna Process.

In Poland, the implementation of the two-tier structure of studies meant, above all, the transformation of unitary master's level studies into two types: bachelor's and master's. But short-term master's studies (mostly two years) appeared in Poland in the public sector in the middle of 1990s and were provided mostly to graduates from the bachelor level studies in the private sector who were seeking master degrees (and they were called supplementary studies, or *studia uzupełniające*).

In some countries, the division between bachelor- and master-level studies was widely used already in the 1990s (i.e. in the decade prior to the emergence of the Bologna Process) or much earlier but the split had different meaning in different places. In Anglo-Saxon countries (the UK and Ireland in Europe), bachelor-level studies were leading to socially recognized higher education credentials. In such European post-communist transition countries as Poland, in contrast, bachelor studies were introduced in the 1990s without initial social recognition – they were not viewed as leading to complete higher education. Bachelor studies in the 1990s

and at least in the first half of the 2000s were viewed by both the society at large and by the labor market as a necessary but only the first step in higher education, leading to complete higher education when master degrees are obtained. Consequently, the vast majority of bachelor-studies graduates from private sector institutions continued their education, either in public sector higher education institutions, or in those selected (less than 10% in the 1990s) private institutions which had the legal right to offer master degrees.

Viewing the last two decades of massification processes in Europe, bachelor studies were especially important for those systems of higher education which wanted to expand rapidly, and in particular – to expand through the newly founded private higher education (Poland being the best example; other examples in the region include also Bulgaria and Romania; see Kwiek 2009, 2010). Slower expansion of educational systems in the 1990s, immediately after the fall of communism, in general, occurred generally in those regional systems which did not introduce private provision in higher education on a large scale (Hungary, the Czech Republic, and the Slovak Republic).

The spread of the idea of two-cycle studies in practice in the European Higher Education Area in the 2000s was successful: between 2003 and 2007 (*Trends III* and *Trends V* reports of EUA, EUA 2003, EUA 2007), the share of responding institutions stating that they already have three-cycle structure of studies in place increased from 53% to 82%. Historically, while in 2003 Poland was among countries most aggressively implementing the three cycles (being among 7 countries with the level of implementation among their institutions in the 70-85% range, and with only 7 other countries scoring higher), in 2007 Poland was in exactly the same range of the level of implementation (again 70-85%) but by that time it was surpassed by 19 other countries which scored 85-100% (out of 36). Thus in Poland during those years the implementation of the three cycle structure slowed down, while other countries were implementing it on a large scale. In 2008, though, Poland was again among the European leaders in implementing the Bologna Process: it was among 6 European countries in which the proportion of graduates following the Bologna structures was 100% (together with the Nordic countries – Denmark, Iceland, Norway and Sweden – and Ireland, OECD 2010: 71). The 2010 *Trends VI* report (EUA 2010) shows a much more homogeneous picture: Poland again belongs to the 97% of countries reporting the 85-100% range of the level of implementation of the three cycles (with only 3% of countries belonging to the other range reported, the 70-85% range, EUA 2010: 35).

Among the European transition countries, the three cycle system in 2007 was implemented in a bigger share of institutions than in Poland in such countries as Latvia, Lithuania, Slovakia, Romania and most post-Yugoslav countries, and in the same share of institutions as in Romania and the Czech Republic. On a European scale, the lowest level of implementation (0-50%) in 2007 was in Estonia and Hungary, as well as in Sweden, Germany, Austria, Spain and Portugal (EUA 2007: 17ff.). In 2010, the implementation of the three cycle system was already in the highest range of implementation in all European countries, including all above countries lagging behind, except for three postcommunist countries: Hungary, Lithuania, and Estonia (in the range of 70-85% of the reported level implementation). A recently reported implementation of the three cycle structure of studies in Europe, seems almost complete, although an EUA report admits that the picture is “more complex” and that there is the “continues coexistence of old and new structures” (EUA 2010: 35).

Poland (following the Law on Higher Education of July 27, 2005, which introduced the three cycle structure to Polish higher education system) until 2008, was still maintaining a parallel system in which old-type 5-years-long master studies existed alongside new bachelor (3-3.5 years) and master (1.5-2 years) studies. In 2008, the enrollment in the former type of studies was abandoned (a similar case was Germany, the biggest system of higher education in Europe, in which a new system existed alongside an old one, and institutions continued to enroll students into the old degree programs, *Trends V* 2007: 22).

According to recent data provided by the *Bologna Process Stocktaking Report 2009*, Poland is showing mixed successes in the three areas evaluated with respect to the degree system: (1) for the “stage of implementation of the first and the second cycle”, Poland receives score 4 (out of 5), (2) for the “access to the next cycle”, Poland receives score 5, and (3) for the “implementation of national qualifications framework”, Poland receives a low score of 2. In general, European countries are very well advanced in the first category (second cycle) and the second category (access) – and there is significant differentiation in the level of implementation of the third category (National Qualifications Framework) in Europe. There is no clear split between Western European economies and transition countries, though (Bologna 2009: 114-122). Compared with other postcommunist transition countries (in Europe), Poland in the implementation of two cycles is at the same level (level 4 out of 5) as the Czech Republic and Slovakia (and above Hungary which is at a lower level of 3), in the

access issue Poland is in the top level of 5 (like other transition countries), and in the implementation of national qualifications framework, Poland is at the low level of 2 (together with, in the region, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania, Bulgaria and Estonia).

Economic arguments in favor of strengthening the role of first-cycle studies in higher education stress that the cost of studying shorter (3-3.5 years instead of 5 years) in systems where fees are low (or none) is lower to the taxpayer. In Europe, the role of fees in university budgets has increased substantially: between 1995 and 2008, its share increased by 50%, from 8% to 12% in EU-25 (CHEPS 2010: 25; the highest share of fees in the composition of revenues in public universities in 2008 was in Ireland – 35%, followed by Croatia – 30%, Romania, Lithuania and Slovenia – 25% each, the UK – 24%, and Poland – 22%). Not surprisingly, systems with highest share of revenues in public institutions are either Anglo-Saxon, or post-communist transition countries. Fees could be thus combined with shorter periods of studies to either lessen the stress on public funding for higher education or to further increase enrollments in higher education in general.

The acceptance of the bachelor level of studies as a “valuable degree leading to suitable jobs in the labor market” on the part of students differs in Europe substantially but overall almost 40% of students do not agree with that statement (39% in 2009, EC 2009). Also the evaluation of the bachelor studies on the part of academics differs substantially between European countries. So not only students’ attitudes toward the bachelor/master degree split are mixed; equally mixed are attitudes of academics in Europe (and if academics themselves are not convinced about the value of the bachelor degree in the labor market, they can hardly transfer the conviction to their students and the labor market).

Eurobarometer’s survey among academic staff on *Perceptions of Higher Education Reforms* shows the extent to which the two-tier structure of studies is still a very controversial issue in many European countries. Bachelor-degree usefulness in the labor market differs substantially both in Europe and in Central and Eastern Europe. With the statement, “first cycle graduates (Bachelor) will find suitable jobs on the labor market”, on average, 39% of surveyed academics disagreed and less than a half (49%) agreed. The strongest support, not surprisingly, comes from academics from two Anglo-Saxon countries with a long tradition of short-term studies (Ireland and the UK) and, which is more surprising and shows strong differentiation between transition countries, from two postcommunist Baltic states (Latvia

and Lithuania), with the highest score in Ireland (82% agree), followed by Latvia (75%), the UK (70%) and Lithuania (66%). The strongest disbelief in the value of the bachelor degree in the labor market comes from academics in Italy, Hungary, Greece, Portugal, France, Slovakia, Austria and Estonia, where over 50% of academics disagree with the statement (51-57%).

In Central Europe, the majority of academics still do not believe in the value of the bachelor degree in the labor market in Hungary and Slovakia (but not in the Czech Republic, with 57% academics linking bachelor degrees with suitable jobs in the labor market). Poland is below the EU-27 average, with 42% of academics agreeing with the link (and 35% disagreeing with the link). It is interesting to note the difference in evaluating the usefulness of the bachelor degree to the labor market by academics between the Czech Republic and Slovakia, as well as between Latvia and Lithuania on the one hand and Estonia on the other. The support of academics for the split between bachelor and master degrees also varies strongly among European countries. Poland is among those European countries in which academics are above the average in disagreeing with the statement: “The introduction of the three cycle system (the bachelor-master-doctorate) will improve (or has improved) the quality of education”. In short, academics do not believe in this aspect of the Bologna Process. Only in 8 countries (out of 31 studied) the disagreement is stronger than in Poland (38%): it is above 50% for Estonia, Slovenia, Hungary, two big Western European systems of Germany and Italy, the Netherlands and Austria.

Retrospectively, academics in Germany, Hungary, Italy, Slovenia and Estonia agree also that it would have been better if the old one-tier system was kept, without a split between bachelor and master degrees (40-53%). In Poland, the majority of academics disagree with the statement, and about one third agrees with it (56% and 32%, respectively). Polish academics are almost evenly divided in their attitude to the statement that first cycle graduates (Bachelor) should follow a master program (46% agree, 48% disagree, with the strongest support of over 50% in such countries as Romania, three big Western European systems of Italy, France and Spain, Portugal, Slovakia, Estonia, Latvia, and Croatia). And the disbelief is expressed in European systems in which Bologna Process is reported to be implemented on a massive scale.

Students in 31 surveyed European countries (according to recent Eurobarometer’s study, *Students and Higher Education Reform*, EC 2007) are still attached to master programs even

more than academics: three-quarters of students (75%) working towards a first cycle degree said they wanted to continue their studies. Only 18% of bachelor-level students surveyed would like to find work after graduation and never study again. Polish bachelor-level students are among those who most strongly prefer to continue studying for a second degree (75%). In Poland, the share of students who are planning to find work (or return to higher education later on) after graduation is the smallest in Europe (17% only, equal to Slovakia and Romania). In Poland, 75% of students want to continue studying for a second degree, 12% would like to find work and continue studies later on a part-time basis, and 5% would like to find work and never study again (EC 2009: 46). Poland is also one of the eight countries in which 99-100% of students give their support for the importance of providing students with the knowledge and skills necessary to be successful in the labor market, together with Bulgaria, Romania, Lithuania, Latvia as well as Belgium, Portugal and Finland (EC 2009: 16).

To sum up: Polish students still seem not to believe in the value of bachelor degrees in the Polish labor market. They prefer to continue studying for a master degree rather than to find work. Additionally, they link very strongly higher education and employability (the link between higher education and employability is especially important in those postcommunist transition countries which have strong private sector in higher education: Poland, Romania and Bulgaria, EC 2009: 41). As the chapter will show in further sections, strong disbelief of Polish students in the value of the bachelor degree can be contrasted with strong belief of the labor market in the degree, as viewed through the proxy of very substantial wage premium on higher education at the bachelor level. Also the disbelief of employers themselves (as shown by various surveys in the last decade) can be contrasted with data coming from recent labor market statistics. The bachelor degree is increasingly being accepted in practical terms, viewed through high remuneration levels compared with secondary education graduates. The Bologna Process has substantially increased the labor market position of graduates with the bachelor degree. Polish changes in degree structure which started in the beginning of the 1990s were reinforced by the Bologna Process, and the social acceptance of the Bologna Process was higher due to the presence of the two-tier structure of studies ten years before the process started. A historical note is needed here, to show the changes in ore detail.

3. The bachelor degree in Poland from a historical perspective: legal changes and institutional transformations

The bachelor degree in Poland in social perceptions is still an inferior, professional (or vocational) degree; it is lower than the master degree which, and still only which, reflects complete higher education credentials. These perceptions, apart from historical reasons, are first of all a legacy of introducing a new level of studies in the new Law on Higher Education of 1990, called “vocational” (*studia zawodowe*) at that time. The historical legacy requires an explanation, highly relevant to the social reluctance to accept the bachelor degree as a higher education degree, and consequently to the social reluctance to accept the Bologna Process with its emphasis on two-tier structure of studies. The social perception does not equal labor market perception, though: bachelor degree seems to be, finally, welcome by the employers, as recent (2009) national data on salaries and wages confirm – via a relatively high wage premium on higher education for bachelor degree holders.

For this current negative social perception of the bachelor degree, and for the development of vocational education at the tertiary level, of crucial importance was article 4 section 2 of the 1990 Law on Higher Education which stated that “higher education institutions may provide unitary master degree studies, *higher vocational studies*, and supplementary master degree studies” (emphasis mine). This article formed the legal basis for higher education institutions, both public and private, to provide various forms of vocational (defined as lower-level, not as “professional”; defined by the level of studies rather than the areas of studies, either more academic or more professional) studies at the tertiary level. The formulation was especially important for the expansion of private higher education institutions, the vast majority of which were not able to meet the academic criteria required by the Ministry of Education to offer master-level study programs. The expansion of the private sector was thus made possible by the introduction in the 1990 law of the above concept of “higher vocational studies”, lasting 3 years instead of 5 years (as it was traditional for “higher education” in Poland), and leading to the vocational degree of *licencjat* or *inżynier* (bachelor), rather than to the academic degree of *magister* (master).

What in Poland in 1990 made possible the expansion of the higher education system, later on became a crucial distinction between bachelor and master programs in European higher education systems in 2000s (together with the spread of the Bologna Process). But the

purpose for which first-cycle studies were introduced in Poland in 1990 still influences its relatively low social recognition. In the Polish context, vocational higher education meant the same study areas as in academic higher education but undertaken only at the first-cycle level.

The 1990 Law introduced wide institutional autonomy in both the organization of studies and the shape of study programs offered. Three-year's long vocational programs, offered in both public and private sectors, were not exactly vocational (or professional): they could be more vocational and practice-related or more academic in their focus. They could also be just as academic as study programs of first three years of studies offered in five-years' unitary master programs. Only as few as 20 percent of private higher education institutions were licensed to offer master programs in the beginning in the mid-1990s; the rest of them offered bachelor programs which, no matter what their content was, no matter how academic they were, were actually termed (by law) "vocational". The 2001 amendment to the 1990 Law on Higher Education opened the way for those private vocational institutions which were meeting the criteria to offer master programs to transform themselves into institutions regulated by the Law on Higher Education. The 2002 amendment (27th July) to the 1990 Law introduced the possibility for all higher education institutions, including vocational higher education institutions, to offer studies either in the "study areas" (which was not possible for vocational institutions until then) or in "vocational study areas and specializations". In this way, the only distinction between vocational programs and academic programs was lifted: first-cycle studies both in academic institutions and in vocational institutions could be basically the same. There was no legal need to provide vocational education in (still legally called) vocational institutions. Finally, the 2005 Law, following the requirements of the Bologna Process, introduced the concept of "first-cycle studies" instead of hitherto existing since 1990 lower-level "vocational studies", which brought about further changes. A new division of higher education institutions was introduced: *academic* institutions (those providing three cycles of studies) and *vocational* institutions (those providing two first cycles of studies only), with a negative definition of vocational institutions as those "not having the right to confer doctoral degrees", that is, not entitled to provide the third-level studies.

Consequently, since 2005, the major legal difference between academic and vocational sector in higher education has been based not on the type of studies offered (either academic or vocational) but on the academic rights possessed by higher education institutions (the only difference being between those having and those not having the right to confer doctoral

degrees). The differences between vocational and academic sectors were therefore blurred further.

4. The degree structure and the labor market response: review of recent evidence

Both higher education and labor market have been under powerful pressures to change, both following the collapse of communism in 1989 and following joining the European Union in 2004. Social perceptions of the bachelor degree need to be contrasted with empirical evidence about its role drawn from labor market statistics. Surprisingly, still largely negative social perceptions of the bachelor degree can be contrasted with already largely positive response of the labor market to it, especially in private sector. Labor force in Poland in the last decade, following immense growth of higher education sector, has been increasingly better educated. The share of economically active population with higher education credentials has been substantially increasing; it has increased from 2.58 million (2003) to 4.31 million (2009), or from 15.35% to almost one quarter (24.7%) of economically active population. Poland has been rapidly catching up with the OECD average. Higher education credentials, as in other EU economies, are closely linked to employment and unemployment levels: in 2009, as in previous years, the relationship was clear – the higher education levels, the lower chances for unemployment (2.6% for higher education, 11.3 for secondary education and 14.3% for primary education, GUS 2009). The success of the Bologna Process in Poland hinges on the success of the two-tier system of studies promoted by it: if the bachelor degree is found acceptable to employers, the two-tier system of studies may find more social acceptance. Recent empirical evidence tends to indicate that the bachelor degree has become a strong pillar of the labor market, especially in the private sector which is about 70% of all employees.

Well-educated segment is the only segment of Polish workforce which is increasing substantially (by 1.8 million, or 67% in 2003-2009), with the segment with general secondary education increasing only slightly in the same period (by 20%) and all other segments decreasing. Still, the share of workforce with (combined) basic vocational and lower secondary, primary and incomplete primary education is considerable – almost 40% (39% or 6.8 million, 2009). For both basic vocational and lower secondary education, there were decreases in 2003-2009 (from 5.77 million to 5.2 million, and from 2.21 million to 1.6 million, respectively).

Compared with major UE economies, Poland's education gap has been substantially decreasing in the last decade, owing to high level of enrollments in higher education. Between 2000 and 2009, the number of students each year was approximately 1.58-1.95 million, and the number of graduates – about 300-400 thousand each year. While the overall level of education of the Polish population as a whole is rising steadily but is still considerably lower than the OECD average, the overall level of education of economically active population is rising considerably faster. Older generations, with, on average, lower levels of education, are leaving the labor market, reaching the (lower than in major OECD economies, on average) retirement age. The domination of (combined) labor force with basic vocational and lower secondary, primary and incomplete primary education is still considerable – but much smaller than ten years ago. Every year about 400 thousand graduates from higher education enter the labor market which gradually changes the composition of the labor force. The number of higher education graduates is not expected to be higher per year, as the number of students is not expected to be higher – and in the next decade, it will be declining every year, with the lowest level, as demographic projections show, in 2025.

Education level of Polish workforce is also closely related to ownership, or the sector of employment: a public sector, which is twice as small as a private sector (about 4 million employees, as opposed to about 8 million employees in the private sector), has 30% more employees with higher education credentials. Only one-third of professionals are in the private sector employment (33%); the rest of professionals are in the public sector (about half of whom are in public education and public healthcare, 749 thousand in the former and 284 thousand in the latter sector). What it means in practical terms is that 46% of public sector employees have higher education credentials (1.94 million out of 4.23 million), as opposed to 19% of private sector employees (only 1.58 million out of 8.13 million, 2009).

It may mean that, effectively, education levels in the public sector can be increasing only slowly, while education levels in the private sector are much more open for further increases. The practical consequences for higher education could be that the need for higher enrollment levels is greater for those study areas which do not lead to public sector jobs (for instance, there is no need for further increases in the number of students in such study areas as teaching and related, or the humanities and related). Exactly the opposite is occurring, though, as seen through a pattern of enrollments in the last decade by fields of study.

Focusing on a particular dimension of the Bologna Process in Poland, we want to contrast here generally negative social perceptions of the bachelor degree of both students, academics and the society at large (as viewed through various national and international surveys) – with increasingly positive attitude of the Polish labor market in general, as viewed through recent national data on the wage premium on higher education. Wage premium on higher education needs to be viewed in the context of the employment structure, by occupation group, and by sector of employment. The biggest occupation group in the Polish labor force is that of professionals (2.007 million), followed by craft and related trade workers (1.751 million) and technicians and associate professionals (1.199 million). The employment structure by sector of employment shows that two-third of professionals work in the public sector (and only 683.9 thousand in the private sector), and this is the only occupation group in which the share of employees in the public sector is bigger than in the private sector. All the remaining 8 major OECD occupation groups are dominated by private sector employment.

Transformations towards a better educated workforce is confirmed on the one hand by the increasing share of employees with higher education in the labor force. On the other hand, transformations are confirmed by increasing number of professionals in the labor force. The process of transformations was confirmed also by newly created jobs in 2008, for which detailed statistics is available. The number of professionals in 2008 was increasing (to 2.031 million, end of the year) and the number of workers was decreasing (from 1.791 million to 1.686 million, end of 2008). While in the first quarter of 2008, job creation for professionals was smaller than job creation for workers, in the fourth quarter of 2008 there were more new jobs available for professionals than for workers. The trend has been continuing in 2009 and 2010, as data from the Central Statistical Office (GUS) show. The sharp increase in the last six years in the share of economically active population with higher education occurred with still high (2009) wage premium on higher education, both bachelor's and master's level, seen also by the proxy of average gross salary or average gross per-hour pay. The structure of the economically active population by sectors of employment and level of education is the following: masters-level higher education is dominant in the public sector, while bachelor's-level higher education dominates in the private sector. Bachelor's level education seems to be much more easily recognized in the private sector – while in the public sector still traditional view of complete higher education as master's-level education prevails.

The wage premium on higher education is especially high for men in the private sector (199% and 162%, master's and bachelor's level), and especially low for women in the public sector (117% and 100%) - which reflects somehow the dominating gender structure of economically active population combined with levels of education. This is shown by both salaries and per hour payments. There is also strong "seniority" in salaries and wages which needs to be stressed: the real difference in average salary comes with the age - most strikingly in the 55-59 and 60-64 age brackets, in both public and private sectors. It may mean the wage premium on higher education may be available mostly for older workers and not for younger, so it does not have to be available immediately after graduation.

What is striking, and goes against conventional knowledge of the economic benefits from higher education in Poland, is that bachelors-level higher education seems to be already well recognized in the labor market, and well rewarded by the labor market, leading to 133.9% of salaries for bachelor degree holders generally, and to 149.3% in case of males. The economic benefit for men with the bachelor degree is higher (149.3%) than the economic benefit for women with the master degree (135%). Men are much higher rewarded for their higher education, regardless of the type (bachelor or master) – by 25-30 percentage points. The wage premium on higher education is also strongly related to the sector of employment: in the public sector, it is substantially lower than in the private sector of employment. While in the public sector for the master degree its is 121.2% for men and 117% for women, in the private sector it is almost 200% (199.1%) for men and almost 170% (169.4%) for women. The difference between rewards given to higher education in both sectors are related to the type of occupations prevalent in both sectors: the public sector in 47% consists of professionals, mostly in (public) education and health sectors in which higher education is much more a standard requirement – than an advantage.

This tendency is strongly confirmed by bachelor-level employees in the public sector – for women there is no reward (100%), while for men and women combined it is only 105.3%. The expectation in the public sector is the master degree, and the bachelor degree is not viewed as an advantage, and is not financially rewarded accordingly. Higher education is rewarded much higher in the private sector: both master's and bachelor's levels, both men and women. Women with the bachelor degree in the private sector are higher rewarded for their education (139.6%) than men with the master degree in the public sector (121.2%). Overall, the differences between the two sectors are striking, and the recognition of higher education in

both forms is very high: the master degree 182.2% (199.1% men and 169.4 women), and the bachelor degree 151.5% (162.1% men and 139.6% women).

Returns from higher education in Poland are very high; studying is still very much financially rewarded, and working with higher education credentials in the private sector is rewarded unexpectedly high. Standard OECD statistics does not make a distinction between returns from higher education at master's and bachelor's level. But generally returns in Poland are among highest in the OECD area (no matter which OECD methodology is used).

Poland had one of the highest wage premium on higher education in general in the 2000s (together with Hungary). With a new methodology of private internal rates of return (IRR) used by OECD recently, Poland still ranks very high among OECD economies for rewards from higher education: for males, it is the third best (22.8), following the Czech Republic (the first, with 29.1) and Portugal (23.9). Hungary is fourth, with 19.8. In largest higher education systems, IRRs are below 10 (Germany, France, Spain), with higher levels for the UK (14.3) and the US (11) (OECD 2008: 196). And with still another methodology (private NPV – net present value) OECD used in 2009, Poland is relatively high on the list of OECD economies as well: it was 5th (with the level of 147.000 USD PPP), following only Portugal, Italy, the USA and the Czech Republic, and it is 80% than the average for the 21 economies studied (OECD 2009). Unfortunately, international comparative data for OECD countries do not seem to make a difference between the bachelor and master degrees (which is standard data for e.g. USA).

Polish empirical studies used to show until recently that wage premium on higher education for holders of bachelor degrees is close to insignificant, compared with holders of post-secondary education (holders of *Matura* final exams, UNDP on Poland, 2007: 175ff). But most recent national data show, perhaps for the first time so clearly, that wage premium on higher education for holders of bachelor (and equivalent, e.g. engineer) degrees are also substantial, especially for men (for PhD and master degrees, the relationship to the average salary was 141.4% (160% for men and 135% for women), for higher education with bachelor (and engineer) degrees, the relationship was also high - 133.9% (149.3% for men and 119% for women; for post-secondary education, the relationship is 90.2% (91.4% for men and 96% for women). For secondary vocational education, the relationship is 74.7% (75.8 for men and only 62.2% for women) (GUS 2009a: 64). Thus employment structure statistics and labor

force statistics show that (higher) education is still highly valued in the labor market and is still highly rewarded in terms of remuneration. There seems to be no recent data available about the employers' perception of the bachelor degree (in the way that such data are easily available, and internationally comparable, with regard to students' and academics' perceptions reviewed above). But perhaps a good proxy of the labor market perception is wage premium for holders of bachelor degrees – which is quite high right now.

The increasing acceptance of the bachelor degree in the Polish labor market seems to be an indirect product of Bologna Process developments: in the 1990s the bachelor degree both had low social prestige and was refused as appropriate higher education credentials by the labor market. But recent national data tend to suggest that while the social prestige of the bachelor degree is still low, its market value is quite high. Consequently, the bachelor degree may have much higher acceptance among students and graduates in the near future. The role of the Bologna Process in these transformations has been substantial. There seems to be a combination of national and international forces at work which has managed to transform the national labor market position of the bachelor degree.

5. The bachelor degree and private higher education

Polish specificity in higher education relevant for the analysis of the bachelor/master split within Bologna Process developments is that Poland has the biggest private higher education sector in Europe, with highest (34% in 2010) enrollments. By OECD definitions, Polish private sector is “independent private sector”, with income from public subsidies lower than 50% and with no publicly-funded employees. In 2009, private higher education sector had 633.100 students, 80% of them in first-cycle studies only and 82.6% of them as part-time students, and thus only about 17% as full-time students (GUS 2010: 57). The social consequences of such a composition of the student body in Poland for the perception of the bachelor degree are far-reaching: in the last 15 years, there were more than 1 million graduates with bachelor degrees from the (generally) low-prestige private higher education institutions. But then, in 2000s, the Bologna Process came to Poland, with its pressures on two-tier structure of studies – which has reinvigorated Polish lower-level degree.

Low social prestige (and low social legitimacy) of private higher education institutions in general is having a powerful impact on the low social prestige of current and future bachelor

degree holders (and first-cycle studies) in general. Low social recognition (as testified by a large proportion of students, by European standards, wishing to continue studies at the master's level) can be contrasted with recent data drawn from the labor market statistics which indicate significant wage premium for bachelor degree holders, as discussed above. Consequently, students in Poland both plan to continue study at the master's level (highest score in Europe, EC 2009) and do undertake master's level studies, most often, in the case of private sector graduates – continue in the fee-based public sector master's programs. They do continue their studies, though, perhaps without the awareness that the labor market is already recognizing bachelor degree and rewarding its holders with substantially higher salaries/wages compared with graduates from secondary education.

The current social attitude to bachelor-level studies promoted throughout the 2000s by the Bologna Process is still determined by their initial appearance in Poland in 1991 as vocational (that is, lower-level in the Polish legal context) studies in the new private sector, seeking social recognition and social legitimacy itself. Bachelor studies for many years, until Bologna Process ideas were implemented in the 2000s, were regarded as academically inferior, and provided by emergent and (largely viewed as) academically inferior private sector. Public higher education institutions, especially universities, had negative attitudes towards bachelor level of studies for strongly cultural reasons: they offered full five-year-long master studies, in contrast to less prestigious private sector institutions which in the 1990s were legally allowed, in their vast majority, to offer only bachelor studies. Polish public higher education institutions, especially most prestigious universities, were very reluctant in introducing bachelor degrees, and in reforming their study programs into separate bachelor and master sections. The Law on Higher Education of 2005 finally forced all higher education institutions to introduce separate study paths for both degrees (if both are offered) by 2008, and this is what has happened. The new law of 2011 merely confirmed the clear separation between study levels and introduced a learning outcomes perspective in defining study programs.

6. Conclusions

The promotion of first-cycle studies in Poland seems of primal importance for several interrelated reasons: the structure of the student population in which the vast majority of students chooses to continue studies at the master level, without trying to enter the labor

market, is ineffective and costly to the state budget (in the case of full-time students in the public sector), as well as costly to those students who study in fee-based tracks in the public sector or in fee-based private sector. High economic returns to higher education, expressed in high wage premium on higher education for holders of both bachelor and master degrees, may indicate that Polish labor market is already recognizing the value of skills and competences achieved during first-cycle studies. Possibly, bachelor studies, still not fully recognized in social perception, are beginning to be recognized by the labor market, that is, recognized in purely economic terms. New strategies for the development of Polish higher education until 2020 produced in 2010 do not develop this theme, though: there seems to be no interest in overall shortening of study periods in Poland at the moment among any of major stakeholders – government, universities, students, and parents. The future of Polish universities depends heavily on the future implementation the emergent Polish Lifelong Learning Strategy and National Quality Frameworks (related to European Qualifications Framework).

The consolidation of all skills and competences, from all levels and forms of education, into a readable and easily recognizable system is a major challenge for the future, of which the bachelor/master degree distinction is only a part today. First cycle studies may be popularized, especially in view of the economic evidence coming from the labor market, while second cycle studies may be made more selective, and possibly funded through tuition fees. The major lesson from the chapter is that there are periods in social and economic transformations in which social perceptions of educational credentials do not keep up with purely economic perceptions of them. While society (including students themselves) seems, by and large, to still disregard first-level higher education in Poland, the economy already seems to be greeting first-degree holders in the labor market. This extremely positive transformation may be viewed as a perfect illustration of Bologna Process success stories in Poland

References:

- Amaral, Alberto, Guy Neave, Christine Musseline and Peter Maassen (2009). *European Integration and the Governance of Higher Education and Research*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Birtwistle, Tim (2009). “Towards 2010 (and then Beyond) – the context of the Bologna Process”. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*. Vol. 16, No. 1, March.

- Bologna (2009). *Bologna Process Stocktaking Report 2009*. By Andrejs Rauhvargers, Cynthia Deane and Wilfried Pauwels. Leuven/Louvain-la-Neuve.
- CHEPS (2010). *Progress in Higher Education Reform Across Europe. Governance and Funding Reform*. Enschede: CHEPS (Center for Higher Education Policy Studies).
- Dale, Roger (2008). "Changing Meanings of 'the Europe of Knowledge' and 'Modernizing the University', from Bologna to the 'New Lisbon'". *European Education*. Vol. 39. No. 4. Winter.
- EC (2007). *Perceptions of Higher Education Reforms*. Brussels.
- EC (2009). *Students and Higher Education Reform*. Brussels.
- EUA (2003). *Trends in Learning Structures in European Higher Education III*. A report by Sybille Reichert and Christian Tauch. Brussels: European University Association.
- EUA (2007). *Trends V: Universities Shaping the European Higher Education Area*. By David Crosier et al. Brussels: European University Association.
- Gorga, Adriana (2008). "Where are We with the Harmonization of European Higher Education? The Case of Central and East European Countries". *European Education*. Vol. 39. No. 4. Winter 2007-8.
- GUS (2009a). *Structure of Wages and Salaries By Occupations in October 2008*. Warsaw: GUS (Central Statistical Office) (in Polish and English).
- GUS (2010). *Higher Education Institutions and Their Finances in 2009*. Warsaw:
- Kwiek, Marek (2006). "The Emergent European Educational Policies Under Scrutiny. The Bologna Process From a Central European Perspective". In: Voldemar Tomusk, ed., *Creating the European Area of Higher Education. Voices from the Periphery*. Dordecht: Springer.
- Kwiek, Marek (2007). "The European Integration of Higher Education and the Role of Private Higher Education". In: S. Slantcheva and Daniel C. Levy (eds.). *Private Higher Education in Post-Communist Europe. In Search of Legitimacy*. New York. Palgrave/Macmillan.
- Kwiek, Marek (2008). "Accessibility and Equity, Market Forces and Entrepreneurship: Developments in Higher Education in Central and Eastern Europe". *Higher Education Management and Policy*. Vol. 20, no. 1. March 2008.
- Kwiek, Marek (2009a). "The Two Decades of Privatization in Polish Higher Education. Cost-Sharing, Equity and Access". In: Jane Knight (ed.). *Financing Higher Education: Equity and Access*. Rotterdam/Boston/Taipei: Sense Publishers.
- Kwiek, Marek (2009b). "The Changing Attractiveness of European Higher Education". In: Barbara Kehm, Jeroen Huisman and Bjorn Stensaker, eds. *The European Higher Education Area: Perspectives on a Moving Target*. Rotterdam/Boston/Taipei: Sense Publishers.

- Kwiek, Marek (2010). "Creeping Marketization: Where Polish Private and Public Higher Education Sectors Meet". In: Roger Brown, ed., *Higher Education and the Market*. New York: Routledge.
- Kwiek, Marek (2011). "The Public-Private Dynamics in Polish Higher Education. Demand-Absorbing Private Growth and Its Implications". *Higher Education Forum*. No. 8 (2011).
- Kwiek, Marek and Nina Arnhold (2011). "Enabling Smart Growth for Poland Through Education and Skills Supply". In: *Europe 2020 Poland. Fueling Growth and Competitiveness in Poland Through Employment, Skills, and Innovation*. Volume 2. Technical Report. Washington DC: World Bank.
- Kwiek, Marek and Peter Maassen, eds. (2011). *National Higher Education Reforms in a European Context: Comparative Reflections on Poland and Norway*. Frankfurt and New York: Peter Lang, forthcoming)
- Maassen, Peter and Christine Musselin (2009). "European Integration and the Europeanisation of Higher Education". In: Amaral, Neave et al. 2009.
- Maassen, Peter and Johan P. Olsen, eds. (2007). *University Dynamics and European Integration*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Neave, Guy and Peter Maassen (2007). "The Bologna Process: An Intergovernmental Policy Perspective". In: Maassen and Olsen 2007.
- OECD (2008). *Education at a Glance 2008. OECD Indicators*. Paris: OECD.
- OECD (2009). *Education at a Glance 2009. OECD Indicators*. Paris: OECD.
- OECD (2010). *Education at a Glance 2010. OECD Indicators*. Paris: OECD.
- Slantcheva, Snejana and Daniel C. Levy, eds. (2007). *Private Higher Education in Post-Communist Europe. In Search of Legitimacy*. New York. Palgrave/Macmillan.
- Tomusk, Voldemar (2004). *The Open World and Closed Societies. Essays on Higher Education Policies "In Transition."* New York: Palgrave.
- Tomusk, Voldemar, ed. (2006). *Creating the European Area of Higher Education. Voices from the Periphery*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- UNDP (2007). *Edukacja dla pracy. Raport o Rozwoju Społecznym Polska 2007 (Education for Work. A Report on Social Development – Poland 2007)*. Ed. by Urszula Sztanderska. Warszawa: UNDP.

Professor Marek Kwiek
Center for Public Policy Studies, Director
Poznan University, Poland
kwiekm@amu.edu.pl

Papers in the series include the following:

- Vol. 1 (2006) Marek Kwiek, "The Classical German Idea of the University, or on the Nationalization of the Modern Institution"
- Vol. 2 (2006) Marek Kwiek, "The University and the Welfare State in Transition: Changing Public Services in a Wider Context"
- Vol. 3 (2007) Marek Kwiek, "Globalisation: Re-Reading its Impact on the Nation-State, the University, and Educational Policies in Europe"
- Vol. 4 (2007) Marek Kwiek, "Higher Education and the Nation-State: Global Pressures on Educational Institutions"
- Vol. 5 (2007) Marek Kwiek, "Academic Entrepreneurship vs. Changing Governance and Institutional Management Structures at European Universities"
- Vol. 6 (2007) Dominik Antonowicz, "A Changing Policy Toward the British Public Sector and its Impact on Service Delivery"
- Vol. 7 (2007) Marek Kwiek, "On Accessibility and Equity, Market Forces, and Academic Entrepreneurship: Developments in Higher Education in Central and Eastern Europe"
- Vol. 8 (2008) Marek Kwiek, "The Two Decades of Privatization in Polish Higher Education: Cost-Sharing, Equity, and Access"
- Vol. 9 (2008) Marek Kwiek, "The Changing Attractiveness of European Higher Education in the Next Decade: Current Developments, Future Challenges, and Major Policy Options"
- Vol. 10 (2008) Piotr W. Juchacz, "On the Post-Schumpeterian "Competitive Managerial Model of Local Democracy" as Perceived by the Elites of Local Government of Wielkopolska"
- Vol. 11 (2008) Marek Kwiek, "Academic Entrepreneurialism and Private Higher Education in Europe"
- Vol. 12 (2008) Dominik Antonowicz, "Polish Higher Education and Global Changes – the Neoinstitutional Perspective"
- Vol. 13 (2009) Marek Kwiek, "Creeping Marketization: Where Polish Public and Private Higher Education Sectors Meet"
- Vol. 14 (2009). Karolina M. Cern, Piotr W. Juchacz, "EUropean (Legal) Culture Reconsidered"
- Vol. 15 (2010). Marek Kwiek, "Zarządzanie polskim szkolnictwem wyższym w kontekście transformacji zarządzania w szkolnictwie wyższym w Europie"
- Vol. 16 (2010). Marek Kwiek, "Finansowanie szkolnictwa wyższego w Polsce a transformacje finansowania publicznego szkolnictwa wyższego w Europie"
- Vol. 17 (2010). Marek Kwiek, "Integracja europejska a europejska integracja szkolnictwa wyższego"
- Vol. 18 (2010). Marek Kwiek, "Dynamika prywatne-publiczne w polskim szkolnictwie wyższym w kontekście europejskim"
- Vol. 19 (2010). Marek Kwiek, "Transfer dobrych praktyk: Europa i Polska"
- Vol. 20 (2010). Marek Kwiek, "The Public/Private Dynamics in Polish Higher Education. Demand-Absorbing Private Sector Growth and Its Implications"
- Vol. 21 (2010). Marek Kwiek, "Universities and Knowledge Production in Central Europe"

- Vol. 22 (2010). Marek Kwiek, "Universities and Their Changing Social and Economic Settings. Dependence as Heavy as Never Before?"
- Vol. 23 (2011). Marek Kwiek, "Universities, Regional Development and Economic Competitiveness: The Polish Case"
- Vol. 24 (2011). Marek Kwiek, "Social Perceptions vs. Economic Returns from Higher Education: the Bologna Process and the Bachelor Degree in Poland"