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Of the three major American “dark” Romantics – Edgar Allan Poe, Herman Melville, and Nathaniel Hawthorne – the latter was the most prophetic. In particular, Hawthorne possessed – one is tempted to say was possessed by – a seismic sensitivity to the cultural aftershocks of the Industrial Revolution. A notebook entry from July 31, 1838, reads,

A steam engine in a factory is supposed to possess a malignant spirit; it catches one man’s arm, and pulls it off; seizes another by the coat-tails; and almost grapples him bodily; – catches a girl by the hair, and scalps her; – and finally draws a man, and crushes him to death (Hawthorne 1987: 315).

This horrific “Idea for a Story” was partly realized in “Ethan Brand”, published twelve years later (see below).

But consider too this notebook entry, written by Hawthorne the same year as “Ethan Brand”:

In a moment, it dashes along in front of the station-house and comes to a pause; the locomotive hissing and fuming, in its eagerness to go on. How much life has come at once into this lonely place! Four or five long cars, each, perhaps, with fifty people in it; reading newspapers, reading pamphlet novels, chattering, sleeping; all this vision of passing life! ... Meantime, the passenger, stepping from the solitary station-house into the train, finds himself in the midst of a new world, all in a moment ... [A]ltogether, it is a scene of stirring life, with which a person, who had been waiting long for the train to come by, might find it difficult at once to amalgamate himself (Hawthorne 1987: 327).
Let me begin this re-visioning of two of Hawthorne’s major short fictions by offering symposium cushions to two theorists of contemporary American culture, the late Marshall McLuhan and Jean Baudrillard. Applying their ideas to “The Birthmark” and to “Ethan Brand” will help reveal that Hawthorne anticipated with uncanny accuracy issues that only today commentators define as postmodern.

Of course “Ethan Brand’s” supporting cast of characters – among them the stage-agent, the soap-boiler, and the village doctor – are victims of the Industrial Revolution of the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, the dehumanizing consequences of which Hawthorne was keenly aware. But as Leo Marx has convincingly shown, in “Ethan Brand” Hawthorne was also years ahead of his time in diagnosing the dangers inherent in the American mania for technology. In fact, “Ethan Brand” is far more contemporary in spirit and in letter than even Marx suspected.

In coining his now-classic metaphor for the technological man, Marshall McLuhan helps us to detect what is “postmodern” in Hawthorne’s prescient vision:

[The] extension of himself by mirror numbed [Narcissus’] perceptions until he became the servomechanism of his own extended or repeated image ...

He had adapted to his extension of himself and had become a closed system (McLuhan 1964: 51).

In an age of digital TV’s, personal computers and cellular phones, the myth of Narcissus serves as a perfect metaphor for the relationship of the technological man to the world of his own making: an environment of self-extensions. In McLuhan’s familiar idiom, television is an extension of the eye, the telephone an extension of the ear, the automobile an extension of the foot, the Internet (which McLuhan clearly foresaw) an extension of the entire human nervous system.

In “Ethan brand”, Nathaniel Hawthorne prefigures the technological dilemma of latter-day persons who have become servomechanisms of their own “extended or repeated” images. Twice in the story Hawthorne identifies Ethan Brand with his own technological implements:

At frequent intervals, he flung back the clashing weight of the iron door, and, turning his face from the insufferable glare, thrust in huge logs of oak, or stirred the immense brands with a long pole.

---

1 By “contemporary” I mean since (roughly) 1960. Many critics see the sixties as a watershed of postmodernism. McLuhan’s Understanding Media was published in 1964.

2 See the discussions of “Ethan Brand” and other works by Hawthorne in Marx’s classic volume (Marx 1964).
And:

As he advanced, he fixed his eyes—which were very bright—intently upon the brightness of the furnace, as if he beheld, or expected to behold, some object worthy of note within it [italics added—SC] (Hawthorne 1987: 232-233).

The “brands” in the furnace constitute, of course, a deliberate echo of the ego-driven Ethan’s last name, suggesting that they are but an extension of him. Put another way, over the years Ethan has become dehumanized, morally or spiritually indistinguishable from a “brand”, i.e., an inert technological object. In like manner, the “brightness” of the Promethean fire is depicted as an extension of Ethan’s “brightness”, or fiery, eyes. Ethan is, in short, what I’ve called elsewhere an ontological cyborg, or a closed mechanomorphian system.

Something strikingly similar also occurs in “The Birthmark”. Like Ethan Brand, the scientist Alymer is a narcissist who is worshipped like a god by his doomed wife Georgiana; like Ethan, he too has become “numbed” to the human needs—indeed, to the very being—of his fellows. Alymer’s ontological numbness, like Ethan’s, is directly traceable to the fact that his work has also become an extension of himself:

Georgiana, you have led me deeper than ever into the heart of science. I feel myself fully competent to render this dear cheek as faultless as its fellow; and then, most beloved, what will be my triumph when I shall have corrected what Nature left imperfect in her fairest work! Even Pygmalion, when his sculptured woman assumed life, felt not greater ecstasy than mine will be (Hawthorne 1987: 121-122).

Pygmalion’s ivory statue, with whom the sculptor fell in love, is of course another instance of the psychology of self-extension(s) as articulated in Greek myth. Likewise for Alymer, Georgiana is an object of scientific curiosity, no more human to him than the brands ablaze in the furnace are to Ethan.

In his classic essay, “The Evil Demon of Images and Precession of Simulacra”, Jean Baudrillard, like Marshall McLuhan (1964), diagnoses the postmodern condition in terms of narcissistic self-extensions. For Baudrillard, however, these extensions take the form not of objects or material phenomena but of images or simulacra. In Baudrillard’s precession, the image undergoes four phases of development, each one of which is increasingly distanced from the “real”. In the first phase, “[the image] is the reflection of a basic reality”; in the second, “it masks and perverts a basic reality”; in the third, “it masks the absence of a basic reality”; in the fourth, “it bears no relation to any reality whatever: it is its own pure simulacrum” (Baudrillard 1993: 196).

“Ethan Brand” also contains an episode which may be interpreted in the light of Baudrillard’s second stage, the masking and perversion of reality. During Ethan’s visit on the mountain, “a number of the youth of the village” show up, intent on seeing the legendary Ethan Brand in the flesh. Their interest in the real personage, however, soon wanes:

As it happened, there was other amusement at hand. An old German Jew, traveling with a diorama on his back, was passing down the mountain-road towards the village ...

‘Come, old Dutchman!’ cried one of the young men, ‘let us see your pictures, if you can bear they are worth looking at!’ (Hawthorne 1987: 238).

The Dutchman5 obliges, and soon the youths are seduced away from the reality of Ethan Brand’s presence into a world of simulacra: “cities, public edifices ... ruined castles in Europe ... Napoleon’s battles and Nelson’s sea fights ...” (Hawthorne 1987: 238).

In the midst of these images, the Dutchman’s monstrous hairy hand—“which might have been mistaken for the Hand of Destiny”—is seen on the screen gesturing toward various objects (Hawthorne 1987: 238). Then, still another horrifying human extension appears:

... the German bade little Joe put his head into the box. Viewed through the magnifying glasses, the boy’s round, rosy visage assumed the strangest aspect imaginable of an immense Titanic child, the mouth grinning broadly ... (Hawthorne 1987: 238).

This grotesquerie itself is soon interrupted by “the eye of Ethan Brand” which is “fixed upon [the child] through the glass” (Hawthorne 1987: 239).

In this extended tableau, the power of the diorama (the nearest nineteenth century equivalent to modern-day television) to reconfigure reality into image, and to make that image more palatable than reality itself, is strikingly apparent. The substitution of the image (or the sign) for reality occurs in two sub-phases. In the first, it “masks” a “basic reality”; in the second, it “perverts” that reality. In both cases, the “basic reality” is Ethan Brand himself, who a) disappears from the text to be replaced by images, and b) becomes an image himself.

Baudrillard’s fourth phase, wherein the image “bears no relation to any reality whatsoever”, may be applied to a similar scene in “The Birthmark”. In it, Alymer seeks to “release [Georgiana’s] mind from the burden of actual things”:

---

3 See Carter (1999), and especially the chapter entitled, “The Descent of John Henry’s Hammer”.

4 McLuhan also points out the Greek root of the word “Narcissus” in “Narcosis” (1964: 51).

5 “Dutchman” was contemporary slang for German.
Airy figures, absolutely bodiless ideas, and forms of unsubstantial beauty, came and danced before her, imprinting their momentary footsteps on beams of light ... Then again ... the procession of external existence flitted across a screen. The scenery and the figures of actual life were perfectly represented, but with that bewitching yet indescribable difference which always makes a picture, an image, or a shadow so much more attractive than the original (Hawthorne 1987: 123-124).

In this disturbing scene, Baudrillard’s “precession of simulacra” is uncannily anticipated by Hawthorne’s “procession [of images] across a screen” (Hawthorne 1987: 124). Georgiana is so entranced with Alymer’s light show that she becomes increasingly anesthetized to what’s happening to her. She has now entered what Baudrillard calls the fourth stage of the precession of simulacra: a world which is its own pure simulacrum. Like Ethan Brand, who we last saw “frozen” in Baudrillard’s second stage, she’ll soon be dead.

The figures that entrance Georgiana are created by Alymer, even as the image of his eye in the diorama is a “bewitching” simulacrum of Ethan Brand. Of course, images on a screen also constitute extensions of man. Thanks to the postmodern vocabulary of cultural critics like Marshall McLuhan and Jean Baudrillard, we may understand Hawthorne’s prophetic vision of technology’s dehumanizing power in ways in which his contemporaries couldn’t possibly have imagined.

REFERENCES

Baudrillard, Jean
Carter, Steven

Docherty, Thomas (ed.)
Esslin, Martin
Hawthorne, Nathaniel

Kott, Jan
1964 Shakespeare our contemporary. (Translated by Bolesław Taborski.) Garden City, NY: Doubleday.

Marx, Leo
1964 The machine in the garden: Technology and the pastoral ideal in America. New York: OUP.

McLuhan, Marshall