

GLOTTODIDACTICA

VOL • XXVII (1999)



2005. CZE. 0 8
2007 GRU. 1 6



HORIZON

13201

cd. 42582A 11

08. MAR. 2002

UNIWERSYTET IM. ADAMA MICKIEWICZA W POZNANIU

GLOTTODIDACTICA

AN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF APPLIED LINGUISTICS

VOLUME XXVII (1999)

Founding Editor – Ludwik Zabrocki

Editor – Izabela Prokop

Honorary Editorship – Waldemar Pfeiffer

Assistants to the Editor – Sylwia Adamczak, Augustyn Surdyk

Editorial Advisory Board

Albert Bartoszewicz – Olsztyn
Franciszek Grucza – Warszawa
Jacek Fisiak – Poznań
Waldemar Marton – Poznań

Barbara Skowronek – Poznań
Weronika Wilczyńska – Poznań
Władysław Woźniewicz – Poznań
Jerzy Żmudzki – Lublin



POZNAŃ 2000

Adres Redakcji:

Katedra Glottodydaktyki i Translatoryki UAM
ul. 28 Czerwca 1956 r. nr 198
61-485 POZNAŃ, Poland
tel. (+48-61) 831 12 19, tel./fax (+48-61) 831 12 48
e-mail: iprok@amu.edu.pl

Okladkę projektowała
MARIA DOLNA

Wydanie publikacji dofinansowane przez Komitet Badań Naukowych

© Wydawnictwo Naukowe UAM, Poznań 2000



Opracowanie redakcyjne i łamanie
ROBERT SCHLAFFKE

Redaktor techniczny
ELŻBIETA RYGIELSKA

425 821 II / Vol. 27
2000

ISBN 83-232-1043-8
ISSN 0072-4769

WYDAWNICTWO NAUKOWE UNIWERSYTETU IM. ADAMA MICKIEWICZA W POZNANIU

Nakład 500 egz. Ark. wyd. 16,00. Ark. druk. 11,75. Papier offset. kl. III, 80 g, 70 × 100.
Podpisano do druku w sierpniu 2000 r. Druk ukończono we wrześniu 2000 r.

DRUKARNIA „AMK”, KOSTRZYN WLKP., UL. SIENKIEWICZA 5

BIBL. UAM

2002 EO 553

CONTENTS

I. ARTICLES

Sylvia ADAMCZAK, Literatur als Kultursensibilisierung? Zur Arbeit mit literarischen Texten im interkulturellen Fremdsprachenunterricht	5
Krystyna ANTKOWIAK, Texte littéraire dans la glottodidactique	27
K. CONNORS, Intuitions of adult L2-Learner and translation students as a guide to analysis in teaching	35
Piotr WOŹNIAK, Edward J. GORZELAŃCZYK, Modern hypermedia systems, which encompass the ability to adapt to the properties of human memory and cognition.	53
Sambor GRUCZA, Didaktische Texte im Bereich der Glottodidaktik. Versuch einer Begriffsbestimmung	63
Joanna KUBASZCZYK, Kognition und Übersetzen. Über das Übersetzen von Konzepten	77
Luise LIEFLÄNDER-KOISTINEN, Übersetzer- und Dolmetscherausbildung in Finnland	91
Weronika WILCZYŃSKA, Apprentissage semi-autonome: Résultats d'une expérience de tutorat	95
Jerzy ŻMUDZKI, Disparität von Attribuierungen in polnischen und deutschen Adjektiv-Substantiv-Kollokationen als Translationsproblem	107

II. REPORTS

Anna PIECZYŃSKA, Festschrift für Professor Ulrich Engel	121
Izabela PROKOP, Hohe deutsche Auszeichnung für Professor Waldemar Pfeiffer	122
Joanna ANDRZEJEWSKA, Kurzbericht über die III. deutsch-polnische Nachwuchskonferenz	123

III. BOOK REVIEWS AND ANNOTATIONS

Ernst Eichler, Gerold Hilty, Heinrich Löffler, Hugo Steger, Ladislav Zgusta (Hrsg.): <i>Namenforschung/Name Studies/Les noms propres. Ein internationales Handbuch zur Onomastik/An International Handbook of Onomastics/Manuel international d'onomastique.</i> (G. Lietz)	125
Janusz Ąrabski: <i>Przyswajanie języka obcego i pamięć werbalna</i> (A. Übermann)	140
Claudio Nodari: <i>Perspektiven einer neuen Lehrwerkkultur – Pädagogische Lehrziele im Fremdsprachenunterricht als Problem der Lehrwerkgestaltung</i> (R. Rybarczyk)	142

Edward Bialek, Ewa Hubicka-Kot: <i>Deutsch für Lerner und Lehrer. Band 1: Übungskurs. Band 2: Wiederholungskurs</i> (M. Guz)	144
Anna Bednarczyk: <i>Wybory translatorskie. Modyfikacje tekstu literackiego w przekładzie i kontekst asocjacyjny</i> (J. Kubaszczyk)	147
Sebastian Loskant: <i>Das neue Trendwörterlexikon</i> (L. Zieliński)	148
Saskia Bachmann, Sebastian Gerhold, Bernd-Dietrich Müller, Gerd Wessling: <i>Sichtwechsel neu 1, 2, 3</i> (K. Myczko)	150
Rotraud Cros, Doris Ladiges, Ingeborg Laveau: <i>Huckepack Gemeinsam Lernen macht Spaß</i> (K. Myczko)	152
Andrzej Kątny: <i>Zu ausgewählten Aktionsarten im Polnischen und deren Entsprechungen im Deutschen. Tomasz Czarnecki: Aspektualität im Polnischen und Deutschen. Bedeutungen und Formen in einer konfrontativen Übersicht</i> (M.L. Kotin)	153
Andrzej Kątny und Christoph Schatte (Hrsg.): <i>Das Deutsche von innen und von außen</i> (M. Płomińska, M. Gładysz)	156
Małgorzata Marcjanik: <i>Polska grzeczność językowa. (Polnische Sprachhöflichkeit)</i> (W. Miodęk)	160
Hubert Orłowski: <i>„Polnische Wirtschaft”. Nowoczesny niemiecki dyskurs o Polsce</i> (Z. Mielczarek)	164
Albert Raasch (Hrsg.): <i>Deutsch und andere Fremdsprachen – internationale Länderberichte, Sprachenpolitische Analysen, Anregungen</i> (J. Andrzejewska)	168
Mike Beers, Sandra Peters: <i>Antwerp Papers in Linguistics</i> (A. Nowicka, A. Surdyk)	172
Hartmut Schröder, Gerhard Wazel (Hrsg.): <i>Fremdsprachenlernen und interaktive Medien. Dokumentation eines Kolloquiums an der Europa-Universität-Viadrina 21.-24. März 1996 Frankfurt (Oder)</i> . (P. Hostyński)	174
C.H. Macrae, Ch. Stangor, M. Hewstone: <i>Stereotype und Vorurteile</i> . (I. Gajewska-Głodek)	179
 IV. PUBLICATIONS RECEIVED	 183
 V. ANNOUNCEMENTS	 185

MODERN HYPERMEDIA SYSTEMS,
WHICH ENCOMPASS THE ABILITY TO ADAPT TO THE PROPERTIES
OF HUMAN MEMORY AND COGNITION

PIOTR WOŹNIAK, EDWARD J. GORZELAŃCZYK

Karol Marcinkowski Medical Academy – Poznań

ABSTRACT. In this article we would like to show the need for developing knowledge access systems that can account for the imperfections in human perception, information processing and memory (Higgins et al., 1996). The implementation of such systems will result in enormous savings in the process of learning at all three stages of knowledge acquisition (by the mind): (1) access knowledge to, (2) learning and (3) knowledge retention (Clark et al., 1997). In particular, we will try to stress the importance of repetition spacing algorithms (Woźniak and Gorzelańczyk, 1994), as well as the importance of (1) (2) and the application of the newly introduced concept of processing, ordinal attributes in hypertext documents, semantics (Wiesman et al., 1997; Gillham, 1988).

**Fusion of the hypertext paradigm with techniques targeted
against human forgetfulness. Implementation shortcomings evident
in generic hypertext interfaces**

Historically, the development of repetition spacing algorithms has proceeded from common sense paper-and-pencil applications to increasingly sophisticated computer algorithms that have recently been implemented in commercial products which have gained substantial popularity among students of languages, medicine, and many other subjects. (Lehmann et al., 1998; Chu et al., 1998; Finley et al., 1998; Schramm and Gollnick, 1998; Dwyer et al., 1997).

This development process was almost entirely orientated towards the maintenance of the acquired knowledge in the student's memory. Currently, there is a possibility of a similar development process being initiated in reference to retrieval and acquisition of knowledge (Woźniak et al., 1995).

Effective learning is based not only on being able to retain the learned material in one's memory (Dwyer et al., 1997). Before this can happen, the to-be-learned knowledge must be identified, pre-processed with a view to understand-

ing, classified and selected with respect to its relevance and importance (Heinrichs et al., 1998; Miklaszewicz et al., 1998). This process can greatly be enhanced by means of simple techniques, which make an excellent material for computer implementation (Lehmann et al., 1998).

This implementation is more and more urgent with the diminishing role played by printed materials in the wake of the ever increasing role of the World Wide Web (Channin, 1995; Dwyer et al., 1997; Lehmann et al., 1998) and the vast market for CD-ROM title releases in all possible subject domains (Pastore et al., 1996; Finley et al., 1998; Schramm and Gollnick, 1998). The straightforward use of a pencil, that is often instrumental in one's work with printed matter, becomes increasingly impossible with more and more multimedia titles appearing on CD-ROM and with a rapid growth of hypermedia available via global computer networks. Some visionaries are even predicting the death of printed matter as we know it (Rattan and al., 1994). The gap between the effectiveness of browsing printed vs hypertext documents seems to grow by the minute, though still very little attention is paid to the reader's or user's ability to leave a trace of his work in the document (Lehto et al., 1995; Lohr et al., 1995). Most of hypertext systems distributed on CD-ROM provide the user only with annotation and bookmark tools, which leave much room for improvement (Inggris et al., 1995). Let us shortly present exemplary tools and techniques that can be used in working with printed textbooks, and what inspiration this might provide for the design of future hypermedia documents.

1. The first problem with books to read is that there are usually too many of them. A good selection of the most applicable material is the first step to effective acquisition of knowledge. This subject, however, we will not be considered here. This is because we would like to focus entirely on the authoring systems for the development of hypertext documents, as well as the tools that would enhance such documents, and make them more attractive from the student's standpoint (Zucker et al., 1996). The new technologies, most notably CD-ROM, will make the author's choice easier in this sense, that the vast capacity of the media will leave less stringent constraints on what not to include in the final shape of the document. When extended to the World Wide Web, the question becomes irrelevant. With appropriate navigation and search tools, hyperspace might remain virtually unlimited (Bigsby and Moehr, 1995; Williams et al., 1995).

2. After selecting the learning material, the important tool to use is a bookmark. Apart from reference materials such as encyclopedias, dictionaries, computer documentation, etc. most of the printed material provides the possibility and often requires a substantial dose of linear progress through the contents. As the time slices allocated for reading, often break one's work in the middle of a lin-

ear section, bookmarks are indispensable. With the advent of hypertext applications, the average length of a linearly processed text is likely to drop dramatically. However, bookmarks do not only serve as pointers to interrupted reading, but also provide a means for customising a table of contents, which can be used for quickly accessing sections which are of the greatest interest. Bookmarks have been an early and ubiquitous child of hypertext documents; therefore, we will also not consider them in the reasoning that follows (Voon et al., 1990).

3. After picking a book, and selecting the relevant bookmark, the process of reading or browsing begins.

First of all, the same bookmark that was used in accessing a particular chapter or section, may serve as a pointer helping to keep one's sight focused on the processed paragraph. This is particularly useful in richly illustrated texts, or at times when external interruptions require frequent shifting of one's sight beyond the reading area. In a hypertext document, the counterpart of a paper bookmark used in reading a textbook, should be a cursor that highlights a single semantic unit that is currently being processed. The importance of such a cursor may go far beyond the sight guidance of a traditional bookmark. Such a cursor will later on be called a semantic focus. It is not difficult to notice that modern textbooks go further and further into making particular semantic units of the text less context dependent. In other words, by picking up a randomly selected sentence from a modern textbook, we are more likely to understand it than would be possible in textbooks written in the style from a few decades ago. The general trend is to shift from prose to more precise forms of expressions. This will be most visibly seen through the proliferation of illustrations, formulas, insert boxes, enumerations, underlined text, etc. This trend stems from the increasing tendency to convert linear textbooks to "pick'n'read" reference materials (Cooper and McCandless, 1996). This makes the job of a hypertext document author much less of a trouble. This will also make semantic units have a life of their own, with the benefit for knowledge retrieval and acquisition (Soula et al., 1997).

4. The most important part of good textbook processing technique is to leave traces of one's work in the text (Soula et al., 1997). After all, let the book itself learn what the reader's progress is, and not keep the entire burden in that reference on reader's memory. First of all, it is useful to prepare a page chart for every carefully studied book. The page chart keeps the record of each page processed, as well as its current processing status. The processing status may assume at least the three following values:

- intact – the page has not yet been processed
- processed – the page has been read at least once and all its semantic units

have been reviewed and marked with processing attributes, which, very much like in page charts, indicate the processing status (e.g. irrelevant, important, memorized, etc.)

- done – the page has been fully processed, and needs no further reference. For example, all its semantic units have been marked as irrelevant, or all its relevant semantic units have been memorized

In some cases, it may also be worthwhile to differentiate different degrees of the attribute processed (or read). After all, the page might have been read once, twice, or several times, with all its semantic units changing the processing attributes during each passage. The rationale behind page charts is to have a constant opportunity to control the speed and direction of processing a particular textbook. The greatest advantages of this are (1) there is no need to refer to fully processed pages marked as done, and (2) give it is possible a priority to new material (intact) as opposed to material that has already been, at least partly, processed (read).

5. As mentioned earlier, all semantic units are marked with processing attributes during the progress of reading. These are:

- irrelevant – the semantic unit is not worth future reference.
- relevant – the semantic unit is worth future reference (which may change its attribute to irrelevant, to-be-memorized or memorized).
- to-be-memorized – the semantic unit seems worth remembering, and should be put to a database with the learned material associated with the currently processed book. The process of actually transferring the unit to a database will take place as soon as processing the book moves to more advanced stages. On occasion, this may happen much earlier or never. Memorized - the semantic unit has been transferred to a database with the learned material, memorized and subjected to a repetition spacing algorithm. In other words, it needs no future reference.

The obvious rationale behind marking semantic units with processing attributes is to not refer to irrelevant or memorized units, to focus one's reading attention on relevant units, and to use to-be-memorized units only during the process of selecting new material for memorization.

In the majority of presently available hypertext systems, it is difficult to develop an equivalent of page charts. Such a document still looks like the impression of moving stray into a sea of information with little chance for managing access in a rational way. The main problems here are (1) how to make sure that one does not wade through once processed material again (during the reading process, it is easy to have a pleasant impression of knowing everything before-

hand just to discover that some of the formulations evoke a déjà vu effect), (2) how to make sure that no important section is missed (perhaps the increasing drive toward large hypertext documents that cannot be encompassed in any way will eliminate this concern altogether). Sooner or later, developers of hypertext tools will discover that there is much more to reading printed books than what has until now been encapsulated in standard hypertext technologies.

New solutions proposed for hypertext systems

Let us consider a collection of proposed enhancements to generic hypertext systems that would provide solutions to the problems mentioned in the preceding section.

1. The first of the problems mentioned concerned selection of the material. What generic systems have to offer in that respect is: (1) possibility of choosing a title, (2) collapsible tables of contents, (3) searching tools, and (4) bookmarks. All that still leaves the reader with the entire document to work with. The first and the easiest step toward the customising content is an editable table of contents. We will discuss the possible add-ons to tables of contents in Point 4 as we address the problem of page charts. A much more complicated, however, and probably more desirable approach to customizing documents to particular needs are document filters. Boolean and fuzzy search procedures standardly included in hypertext documents are usually armed with the ability to yield the list of topics collected in the search (Kolles and Hubschen, 1994; Friedman et al., 1996). Such a list is usually presented in sorted form using one of two criteria (1) semantic order, and (2) number of search hits. Indeed, such a newly generated list of topics can be viewed as a customized table of contents. However, such a table has no attribute of persistence, in other words, it is usually destroyed by repeating the search procedure. Moreover, if the newly generated table of contents was all the reader was interested in, there is, as a rule, no way of hiding the remaining contents of the document from other browsing procedures. A document filter might have similar searching abilities as the previously mentioned standard search procedures; however, the output of the search might have the form of the new document with a new table of contents. Additionally, a keyword system, or better yet, semantic attributes associated with particular topics or even semantic units, might be used in the search. In other words, instead of looking for words or phrases, the search would look for keywords or even semantic content expressed through semantic attributes. The ultimate solution with respect to document filters is to let them collect all relevant semantic units and, literally, generate a new document from the collected pieces. Before such a solution might be implemented, quite a great deal of progress in natural

language processing will be required. In contrast, as it will be demonstrated in Points 4 and 5, that some handy solutions concerned with processing attributes might be just a few steps away.

2. As mentioned earlier, bookmarks are already a standard fixture in all documents that have anything to do with hypertext capability. Bookmarks may serve as a way of constructing a customized table of contents upon locating the most relevant topics used in one's work with the document. In the context of document filters, one might only propose that one of the possible outcomes of search should be an editable bookmark table, that would make it possible to employ the results of search long after it actually took place.

3. The important role of semantic focus will only be shown later when we consider the link between a hypertext document and a database with the learned material generated during the browsing process. At this point we only note that its function can be compared to a selection bar in menus or caret cursor in edit controls or word processor. The position of the semantic focus indicates the currently processed semantic unit. Very much like in the case of cursors or selection bars, the actions undertaken by the user or reader will affect only the selected unit. These actions might be to (1) change the processing attributes of the unit, (2) change the semantic attributes of the unit (e.g. to determine the future search outcomes), (3) transfer semantic items associated with the unit to a database with the learned material, and (4) perform an editing action on the unit (delete, print, transfer to another document, etc.).

4. Page charts are most painfully missing upon moving from printed matter to hyperspace. The division of books to pages seemed quite artificial, but the benefits of charting are definitely worth this little inconvenience. In the case of hypertext documents, the concept of a page ceased to exist, has been replaced with the concept of a topic. The best link to the entire semantic structure of topics from the human standpoint comes via the table of contents; hence the most obvious implementation target for a counterpart of page charts. A flexible table of contents that would make paper the commodity of the past, should meet the following conditions:

- a) collapsibility (this feature, allowing chapters to be expanded to sections or collapsed to the surface level, is increasingly apparent in modern hypertext systems)
- b) editability that would make the user choose the sequence of topics, as well as to choose topics that should disappear from view not only at the contents level, but also from the document itself awareness of the readers progress through the application of processing attributes. The last point seems the least obvious and worth the most attention

As in the case of page charts, the reader should have the possibility to mark topics with processing attributes (which are initially set as being intact). Marking a topic as irrelevant or done would be equivalent to erasing it from the table of contents or leaving it in an easily distinguishable form, for example grayed. Marking a topic as processed might be enhanced by the indicator of the degree of processing, which might also be reflected in the appearance of the topic's title in the table (for example through coloring). Obviously, the process of tagging topics with processing attributes should be available both at the contents level and the topic level.

5. Finally, individual semantic units should also be markable with processing attributes. Initially, all semantic units would be marked as intact. Upon the first reading, irrelevant items should be marked as irrelevant, and, depending on user's choice, disappear from the text or appear grayed in their original place. Semantic units of the most importance, might be immediately transferred to a database with to-be-memorized items. At the very least, this process would allow the user to paste the content of the semantic unit, re-edit it and place it in a selected database. However, a much more desirable solution is to associate all semantic units in a hypertext document with ready-made collections of items that might be transferred to or linked with the student's database with a key-stroke (e.g. after optional selection and pre-processing). Items marked as memorized could also, depending on the set-up, become invisible or distinguished by different coloring. The remaining items could be marked with a degree of relevance (or number of reading passes); the highest degree being equivalent to the attribute to-be-memorized. The degree of relevance might contribute to the application of ordinal attributes that might be later used in prioritizing once-accessed items for secondary access. Similarly, to-be-memorized items might also be tagged by ordinal attributes that, in this case, would determine the memorization order. If the processing attributes were applied, the user would be able to quickly skip those parts once identified as irrelevant, as well as to pay less attention to those sections that have already been entirely mastered by means of a module using repetition spacing algorithms. The usual situation is, that at the early stages of processing the document, the intact topics and units are of the highest processing priority. As the work progresses, the once-referred-to units may increasingly get into the focus of attention (e.g. in the order determined by their ordinal attributes). This will, in all likelihood, move their processing status to increasing degrees of relevancy, up to the point where a decision is made to memorize a particular semantic unit. In an optimum situation, a collection of simple techniques should be developed to make sure that the flexible table of contents makes it possible to quantitatively assess the progress of processing the semantic units in a given topic. For example, the topic

title in the table could be associated with a bar chart showing the proportion of semantic units in the intact, irrelevant, relevant and memorized categories.

Our experience shows that there is a great potential for an increase in the effectiveness of using hypertext documents provided that the proposed tools are included in both the software shell and in the document in question.

Integrating repetition spacing technology with a hypertext interface

Our hope is that in the future, the student will not ever have to work with a repetition spacing algorithms employed by a dedicated program like SuperMemo (Woźniak and Gorzelańczyk, 1994) (The optimum situation is that the student will obtain access to a hypermedia knowledge base (e.g. within the framework of the World Wide Web) (Bouaud et al., 1998; Dean et al., 1998) with a seamlessly integrated algorithms for the optimum spacing of repetitions (e.g. as a plug-in to a Web browser). In other words, the focus should shift from software and its options, to knowledge itself (Spreckelsen and Spitzer, 1998). Naturally, the development of a hypermedia interface for a knowledge base associated with a database used in learning, will put a much greater burden on the authors of a particular learning system. However, the increase in the effectiveness of accessing and learning knowledge will certainly fully compensate the higher development costs. In the optimum case, all semantic units relevant to learning should be associated with pre-defined, well-structured items (often in the standard question-answer form). A single semantic unit might generate from one to several individual to-be-memorized items. In other words, developing a seamless hypermedia knowledge base integrated with repetition spacing algorithms would triple or quadruple the authors' effort and costs. A subset of the aforementioned technological solutions is currently available in SuperMemo 98.

REFERENCES

- Bigsby D.J., Moehr J.R. *Internet for teaching and learning introductory health informatics*. Proc. Annu. Symp. Comput. Appl. Med. Care., 1995, 532-536.
- Bouaud J., Seroussi B., Antoine E.C., Gozy M., Khayat D., Boisvieux J.F. *Hypertextual navigation operationalizing generic clinical practice guidelines for patient-specific therapeutic decisions*. Proc. AMIA Symp., 1998, 488-492.
- Channin D.S. 1995 Joseph E. Whitley, M.D. Award. *A World Wide Web gateway to the radiologic learning file*. Acad. Radiol., 1995 Dec, 2 (12), 1052-1055.
- Chu L.F., Chan B.K. *Evolution of web site design: implications for medical education on the Internet*. Comput. Biol. Med., 1998 Sep, 28 (5), 459-472.
- Clark R.D., Weekrakone S., Rock W.P. *A Hypertext tutorial for teaching cephalometrics*. Br.J. Orthod 1997 Nov, 24 (4), 325-328.
- Cooper J.A., McCandless B.K. *Development of cross-platform computer-based tutorials*. Radio-graphics 1996 Nov, 16 (6), 1489-1494.

- Dean A.G., Shah S.P., Churchill J.E. DoEpi. *Computer-assisted instruction in epidemiology and computing and a framework for creating new exercises*. Am. J. Prev. Med., 1998 May, 14 (4), 367-371.
- Dwyer T.M., Fleming J., Randall J.E., Coleman T.G. *Teaching physiology and the World Wide Web: electrochemistry and electrophysiology on the Internet*. Am. J. Physiol., 1997 Dec, 273 (6 Pt 3), S. 2-13. [<http://phys-main.umsmed.edu>].
- Finley J.P., Sharratt G.P., Nanton M.A., Chen R.P., Roy D.L., Paterson G. *Auscultation of the heart: a trial of classroom teaching versus computer-based independent learning*. Med. Educ., 1998 Jul, 32 (4), 357-361.
- Friedman C.P., Wildemuth B.M., Muriuki M., Gant S.P., Downs S.M., Twarog R.G., de Blik R., *A comparison of hypertext and Boolean access to biomedical information*. Proc. AMIA Annu. Fall. Symp., 1996, 2-6.
- Gillham D. *Using hypertext to facilitate nurse education*. Comput. Nurs., 1998 Mar-Apr, 16 (2), 95-98.
- Heinrichs W.L., Pichumani R., Mather R., Dev P. *Information frames: a new multimedia approach to Web-based learning of biology and medicine*. Stud Health Technol Inform 1998, 50, 370-372.
- Higgins K., Boone R., Lovitt T.C. *Hypertext support for remedial students and students with learning disabilities*. J Learn Disabil 1996 Jul, 29 (4), 402-412.
- Inglis T.J., Fu B., Kwok-Chan L. *Teaching microbiology with hypertext: first steps towards a virtual textbook*. Med. Educ., 1995 Nov, 29 (6), 393-396.
- Kolles H., Hubschen U. *A dermatologic decision support system that processes lexical knowledge from standard textbooks*. Anal. Quant. Cytol. Histol., 1994 Jun, 16 (3), 219-225.
- Lehmann H.P., Nguyen B., Freedman J. *Delivering labeled teaching images over the Web*. Proc AMIA Symp., 1998, 418-422. <http://omie.med.jhmi.edu/overlayr>]
- Lehto M.R., Zhu W., Carpenter B. *The relative effectiveness of hypertext and text*. International Journal of Human Computer Interaction., 1995, 7 (4), 293-313.
- Lohr L., Ross S.M., Morrison G.R. *Using a hypertext environment for teaching process writing: An evaluation study of three student groups*. Educational-Technology-Research-and-Development, 1995, 43 (2), 33-51.
- Miklaszewicz P., Carey S., Cranston I. *NIGHTINGALE MM system - the work in progress*. Stud Health Technol Inform., 1998, 51, 193-194.
- Pastore G., Valentini V., Campioni P., Marano P. *Telecommunications and multimedia systems in education: what developments for radiology?* Rays, 1996 Apr-Jun, 21 (2), 290-301.
- Rattan A., Mittal S., Gupta A.K. *Role of computers in learning-application in formative assessment*. Indian J. Pediatr., 1994, Mar-Apr, 61 (2), 139-144.
- Schramm M., Gollnick H. *Continuous education for acne-basics, pathogenesis, differential diagnosis, clinic and therapy. Development of an interactive hypermedia application on CD-ROM for computer-based learning and instruction*. Dermatology, 1998, 196 (1), 100-101.
- Soula G., Delquie P., Vitton O., Bartoli J.M., Fieschi M. *Designing medical hypermedia: the FORUM project*. Stud Health Technol Inform 1997, 43 Pt B, 712-716.
- Spreckelsen C., Spitzer K. *Formalising and acquiring model-based hypertext in medicine: an integrative approach*. Methods Inf. Med., 1998 Sep, 37 (3), 239-246.
- Voon F.C., Tan C.K., Rajendran K. *The integration of knowledge through interactive Computer-Enhanced Learning in medicine*. Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore, 1990 Sep, 19 (5), 752-757.
- Wiesman F., Hasman A., van den Herik H. J. *Information retrieval: an overview of system characteristics*. Int. J. Med. Inf., 1997 Nov, 47 (1-2), 5-26.

- Williams T.W.Jr., Giuse N.B., Huber J.T., Janco R.L. Cross-platform hypermedia examinations on the Web. *Proc. Annu. Symp. Comput. Appl. Med. Care*, 1995, 498-501.
- Woźniak P.A., Gorzelańczyk E.J. Optimization of repetition spacing in the practice of learning. *Acta Neurobiol. Exp.* 1994, 54, 59-62.
- Woźniak P.A., Gorzelańczyk E.J., Murakowski J.A. Two components of long-term memory. *Acta Neurobiol. Exp.*, 1995, 55, 301-305.
- Zucker J., Chase H., Molholt P., Bean C., Kahn R.M. A comprehensive strategy for designing a Web-based medical curriculum. *Proc. AMIA Annu. Fall. Symp.*, 1996, 41-45.