



UNIWERSYTET IM. ADAMA MICKIEWICZA W POZNANIU

**STUDIA  
GERMANICA POSNANIENSIA  
XIII**



POZNAŃ 1984



UNIWERSYTET IM. ADAMA MICKIEWICZA W POZNANIU

**STUDIA  
GERMANICA POSNANIENSIA**

**XIII**

Sprachwissenschaft



POZNAŃ 1984

Redaktor naukowy  
ANDRZEJ Z. BZDĘGA



429176 II T.13  
1984

Redaktor: Anna Gierlińska

Redaktor techniczny: Michał Łyssowski

PL ISSN 0137-2467

WYDAWNICTWO NAUKOWE UNIWERSYTETU IM. ADAMA MICKIEWICZA W POZNANIU

Nakład 520+80 egz. Ark. wyd. 11,50. Ark. druk. 9,50+1 wkl. Papier druk. sat. kl. III. 80 g.,  
70×100. Oddano do składania w sierpniu 1983 r. Podpisano do druku w listopadzie 1984 r. Druk  
ukończono w grudniu 1984 r. Zam. nr 173/38. A-3/711. Cena zł 120,-

DRUKARNIA UNIWERSYTETU IM. ADAMA MICKIEWICZA — POZNAŃ, UL. FREDRY 10

BIBL. UAM  
85 EO 723

## INHALT

### ABHANDLUNGEN, AUFSÄTZE

Andrzej Z. Bzdega (Poznań): Sog. kommodische und inkommodische Syntagmen im Deutschen und Polnischen . . . . .	3
Jan Czochralski (Warszawa): Zur Prädiktabilität von Interferenzen im Lichte einer Testanalyse . . . . .	15
Józef Darski (Poznań): Zur Definition der Präposition im Deutschen . . . . .	27
Józef Darski (Poznań): Die pädagogische Aufbereitung der Adjektivdeklination im Deutschen . . . . .	31
Janusz Figas (Poznań): Zur „psycholinguistischen Einheit“ im Zweitsprachenerwerb . . . . .	45
Gabriela Koniuszaniec (Poznań): Bemerkungen zum Formalisierungsproblem in der Linguistik . . . . .	63
Gabriela Koniuszaniec (Poznań): Über Determinatoren im Deutschen und Polnischen . . . . .	71
Anna Kroman (Toruń): Zu den Wiedergabemöglichkeiten des deutschen Präfixes <i>ge-</i> im Polnischen . . . . .	81
Maria Olender (Poznań): Der Ausdruck der Modalität der Verallgemeinerung im Deutschen und Polnischen . . . . .	91
Izabela Prokop (Poznań): Ellipse in den deutschen und polnischen Dialogen . . . . .	103
Irena Storczyk (Poznań): Konfrontativer Vergleich der Nomina agentis im Deutschen und Polnischen . . . . .	109
Slawa Awedyk (Poznań): Phonemic Interpretation of Loan-words from North Germanic Languages in Polish . . . . .	125
Grzegorz Skommer (Poznań): Some Terminological Inconsistencies in Norwegian Syntax . . . . .	129

### REZENSIONEN

Werner Bartsch, Tempus, Modus, Aspekt. Die systembildenden Ausdruckskategorien beim deutschen Verbalkomplex, Frankfurt/M., Berlin, München 1980 (Janusz Zydroń) . . . . .	133
Lucien Tesnière, Grundzüge der strukturalen Syntax, hrsg. und übersetzt von Ulrich Engel, Stuttgart 1980 (Gabriela Koniuszaniec) . . . . .	138
Wolf Dieter Ortmann, Sprechsilben im Deutschen, München 1980 (Andrzej Z. Bzdega) . . . . .	139

Bärbel Miemietz, Kontrastive Linguistik Deutsch-Polnisch 1965—1980, Gießen 1981 (Alicja Gaca) . . . . .	141
Hans Ramge, Rosemarie Rigol, Alfred Tarantowicz (Hrsg.), Deutsch als Fremdsprache: Probleme und Verfahren am Beispiel des Deutsch-Polnischen, Gießen 1981 (Bernard Sołtysiak) . . . . .	142
Aleksander Szule (Hrsg.), Studien zum polnisch-deutschen Sprachvergleich, Kraków 1981 (Andrzej Kątny) . . . . .	144
Hannelore Grimm und Johannes Engelkamp, Sprachpsychologie: Handbuch und Lexikon der Psycholinguistik, Berlin 1981 (Janusz Figas) . . . . .	146
Sascha W. Felix, Psycholinguistische Aspekte des Zweitsprachenerwerbs, Tübingen 1982 (Janusz Figas) . . . . .	147



SLAWA AWEDYK

## PHONEMIC INTERPRETATION OF LOAN-WORDS FROM NORTH GERMANIC LANGUAGES IN POLISH

**Abstract.** Slawa Awedyk, *Phonemic Interpretation of Loan-words from North Germanic Languages in Polish*, Studia Germanica Posnaniensia, Adam Mickiewicz University Press, Poznań, vol. XIII: 1984, pp. 125–128, PL ISSN 0137–2467.

There are about 30 borrowings from North-German languages in Polish. They are concerned with broad cultural phenomena of these countries. Phonological analysis of loan-words from North-German languages shows that most of them entered Polish in their written form.

Slawa Awedyk, Institute of German, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań.

The borrowings from North Germanic languages are not numerous in Polish; there are about thirty words of Danish, Icelandic, Norwegian<sup>1</sup>, and Swedish origin. Their frequency varies considerably: some like *saga* belong to everyday vocabulary, others like *skua* — to a very specialized one. The North Germanic loan-words may be divided into the following categories (English equivalents will be given when the English form differs from that in Polish):

- (1) literature, mythology  
*runy* “runes”, *saga*, *skald*, *troll*, *walkiria* “valkyr(ia)”
- (2) social and political life  
*jarl*, *wiking* “viking”, *Althing*, *Folketing*, *Riksdag*, *Storting* (the names of parliaments in Iceland, Denmark, Sweden, and Norway, respectively)
- (3) skiing  
*slalom*, *ski-* (only in compounds like *ski-rodeo*, *mono-ski*, *ski-bob*),

<sup>1</sup> Norwegian loan-words were collected by Eliasz (1979)

*klister, kristiania/krystiania* "a type of ski evolutions", *skiring/skikjöring* "skijoring"

(4) geography

*fjord, fjeld, gejzer* "geyser", *skarn* "a kind of rock", *malstrom* "maelstrom"

(5) fauna

*alka* 'auk', *leming*, *narwal* "narwhal", *ren* "reindeer", *skua*

The loan-words from North Germanic languages present a number of problems. Firstly, due to the similarities between those languages, especially between Danish, Norwegian, and Swedish, it is sometimes impossible to establish which language the word was taken from, e.g., *saga* is spelled identically in all four languages. Secondly, due to close graphemic-phonemic correspondences in North Germanic languages, it is difficult to decide whether the word is an oral or no-oral loan (cf. *saga*), except for a small number of cases. On the one hand, *fiord* must have entered Polish through writing: it is pronounced [fjɔrt] (cf. *fiordy* [fjɔrdi] nom.pl.) while the Norwegian pronunciation is [fju:r]. On the other hand, *slalom* [slalɔm] seems to be an oral loan from Norwegian (cf. Norw. *slalåm* [sla:lɔm]), what explain the pronunciation (and spelling) in Polish.

Considering the type of words borrowed from North Germanic languages, we may put forward a hypothesis that they are written borrowings, perhaps with the exception of sport terms. Below the phonemic adaptation of North Germanic loans is presented. We assume the following phonemes for Polish (cf. Awedykowa 1972):

(1) vowels: /i ɛ u o a ɔ ɔ̃ ɔ̄ ɔ̄̃ ɔ̄̄ ɔ̄̄̃ ɔ̄̄̄̃ ɔ̄̄̄̄̃ ɔ̄̄̄̄̄̃/

(2) consonants: /p b t d k g f v sz ʃ ʒ φ ʒ x ts dz tʃ dʒ tɸ dʒ r l m n p w j/<sup>2</sup>

Examples (the arrow reads: "is interpreted as"):

<i>&lt;a&gt; → /a/</i>	Pol. <i>alka</i> /alka/ : Norw. <i>alke</i> , Swed. <i>alka</i> , Dan. <i>alk</i>
	Pol. <i>jarl</i> /jarl/ : Dan., Norw., Swed. <i>jarl</i>
<i>&lt;o&gt; → /ɔ/</i>	Pol. <i>fiord</i> /fjɔrd/ : Dan., Norw. <i>fiord</i> , Swed. <i>fjord/fjärd</i>
	Pol. <i>troll</i> /trol/ : Norw., Swed. <i>troll</i> , Dan. <i>trold</i>
<i>&lt;ö, ø&gt; → /ɔ/</i>	Pol. <i>malstrom</i> /malstrøm/ : Norw., Dan. <i>malstrøm</i> , Swed. <i>malström</i>
<i>&lt;i&gt; → /i/</i>	Pol. <i>klister</i> /klister/ : Dan., Norw., Swed. <i>klister</i>
	Pol. <i>ski-</i> /ski/ : Dan., Norw. <i>ski</i>
<i>&lt;i&gt; → /i/</i>	Pol. <i>kristiania/krystiania</i> /kristjanja/ : Norw. <i>Krystiania</i>
<i>&lt;e&gt; → /ɛ/</i>	Pol. <i>ren</i> /ren/ : Norw. <i>rein</i> , Dan., Swed. <i>ren</i>
	Pol. <i>fjeld</i> /fjeld/ : Dan. <i>fjeld</i>

<sup>2</sup> The generative phonology model (cf. Hyman 1970) cannot be applied in our analysis (for the criticism of Hyman's approach cf. Linell 1974).

$\langle u \rangle \rightarrow /u/$	Pol. <i>runy</i> /runt/: Dan., Norw. <i>rune</i> , Swed. <i>runa</i>
$\langle t \rangle \rightarrow /t/$	Pol. <i>troll</i> /trɔl/
$\langle d \rangle \rightarrow /d/$	Pol. <i>klister</i> /klister/
$\langle k \rangle \rightarrow /k/$	Pol. <i>fiord</i> /fjɔrd/
$\langle g \rangle \rightarrow /g/$	Pol. <i>skald</i> /skald/: Norw. Swed. <i>skald</i> , Dan. <i>skjald</i>
$\langle s \rangle \rightarrow /s/$	Pol. <i>alka</i> /alka/
$\langle f \rangle \rightarrow /f/$	Pol. <i>klister</i> /klister/
$\langle v \rangle \rightarrow /v/$	Pol. <i>saga</i> /saga/: Dan., Icel., Norw., Swed. <i>saga</i>
$\langle m \rangle \rightarrow /m/$	Pol. <i>gejzer</i> /gejzer/: Swed. <i>geyser</i> , Icel., Norw. <i>geysir</i>
$\langle n \rangle \rightarrow /n/$	Pol. <i>klister</i> /klister/
$\langle l \rangle \rightarrow /l/$	Pol. <i>fiord</i> /fjɔrd/
$\langle r \rangle \rightarrow /r/$	Pol. <i>narwal</i> /narval/: Dan., Norw. <i>narhval</i> , Swed. <i>narval</i>
$\langle j \rangle \rightarrow /j/$	Pol. <i>walkiria</i> /valkirja/: Dan., Norw. <i>valkyrie</i> , Swed. <i>valkyria</i> / <i>valkyrja</i>
	Pol. <i>malstrom</i> /malstrøm/
	Pol. <i>leming</i> /leming/: Dan. <i>lemming</i> , (Norw. <i>lemen</i> , Swed. <i>lämmel</i> )
	Pol. <i>narwal</i> /narval/
	Pol. <i>runy</i> /runt/
	Pol. <i>leming</i> /leming/
	Pol. <i>jarl</i> /jarl/
	Pol. <i>runy</i> /runt/
	Pol. <i>troll</i> /trɔl/
	Pol. <i>jarl</i> /jarl/
	Pol. <i>fiord</i> /fjɔrd/

The analysis of the phonemic interpretation of North Germanic loan-words in Polish reveals three types of paradigmatic interpretation of non-oral loans (cf. Fisiak 1968):

- (1) simple, e.g.,  $\langle a \rangle \rightarrow /a/$  (Pol. *alka*: Norw. *alke*)
  - (i) (Pol. *wiking*: Norw. *viking*)
- (2) divergent, e.g.,  $\langle i \rangle \nearrow \downarrow$ 
  - (i) (Pol. *kristiania* (*kristja* ηja) Norw. *Kristiania*)
- (3) convergent, e.g.,  $\langle ö, ø \rangle \nearrow \downarrow \nearrow \downarrow$ 
  - (o) Pol. *malstrom*: Norw. *malstrøm*)
  - (o) (Pol. *fiord*: Norw. *fjord*)

In the syntagmatic interpretation, simple identification, i.e. one-to-one correspondence between the number of graphemes and phonemes in a word, is found in almost all the cases. Perhaps the only example where doubled graphemes are interpreted as a single phoneme is *leming* (*leming*) (cf. Dan. *lemming*).

The number of borrowings from North Germanic languages is comparatively small in Polish and therefore any general conclusions must be hypothetical. It

seems, however, that the interpretation of <ö, ø> as (ɔ) like in *malstrom* indicates that the word is a non-oral borrowing (cf. Dan., Norw. *malstrøm*, Swed. *malström*). The graphemes <ö> and <ø> represent a front rounded vowel, and if *malstrom* had been an oral borrowing, one would expect rather (*malstrøm*) than (*malstrem*) in Polish (cf. Pol. *freblówka* „kindergarten”, a hybrid the first part of which comes from a German name *Fröbel* [frø:bæl]; similarly Pol. *lizeska* „a jacket worn by women” from French *liseuse* [lizøz]).

The above analysis of the phonemic interpretation of North Germanic loan-words in Polish seems to support our hypothesis that the majority of loan-words from North Germanic languages were borrowed in their written forms.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Awedykowa, S. (1972) *Konfrontative Studien zu phonetisch-phonologischen Strukturen der polnischen und norwegischen Sprache*, Poznań.
- Eliasz, G. (1979) Norske låneord in polsk språk, Unpublished M. A. Thesis. Institute of German Philology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań.
- Fisiak, J. (1968) *Phonemics of English loanwords in Polish*, Biuletyn Fonograficzny 9, 69—79.
- Hyman, L. (1970) *How concrete is phonology?*, Language 46, 58—76.
- Linell, P. (1974) *Problems of psychological reality in generative phonology. A critical assessment*, RUUL 4 (Reports from Uppsala University Department of Linguistics nr 4 1974).