

# Fréchet spaces of non-archimedean valued continuous functions

WIESŁAW ŚLIWA

## Abstract

Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space and let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a complete non-archimedean non-trivially valued field. Assume that the locally convex space  $E = C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  of all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{K}$  with the topology  $\tau_c$  of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $X$  is a Fréchet space. We shall prove that  $E$  has an orthogonal basis consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of clopen (i.e. closed and open) subsets of  $X$  and that it is isomorphic to the product of a countable family of Banach spaces with an orthonormal basis.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper all linear spaces are over a non-archimedean non-trivially valued field  $\mathbb{K}$  which is complete under the metric induced by the valuation  $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . For fundamentals on normed spaces and Hausdorff locally convex spaces (lcs) we refer to [14] and [13].

A Hausdorff topological space  $X$  is *ultraregular* if for any closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  and any point  $x \in (X \setminus F)$  there exists a clopen subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $F \subset U$  and  $x \in (X \setminus U)$ . It is easy to see that  $X$  is ultraregular if and only if it is zero-dimensional (i.e. the family of all clopen subsets of  $X$  is a base of its topology).

In this paper  $X$  will be denoted an ultraregular space. The Hausdorff locally convex space  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  of all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{K}$  with the topology

---

<sup>1</sup>2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46S10, 46A04, 46E10.

*Key words* : Non-archimedean Fréchet spaces of continuous functions; Schauder and orthogonal bases in non-archimedean locally convex spaces.

The research was supported by the National Center of Science, Poland, grant no. N N201 605340.

$\tau_c$  of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $X$  was studied in [13] and in many papers - see [1], [2], [3], [7], [8], [9] and their references.

It is known (see [13, Theorem 3.7.9]) that  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is

(1) normed if and only if  $X$  is compact.

(2) metrizable if and only if  $X$  is hemicompact (i.e.  $X$  has a fundamental sequence of compact subsets).

(3) complete if and only if  $X$  is  $k_0$ -space (i.e. a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous if  $f|_Y$  is continuous for every compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$ ).

Moreover  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is of countable type if and only if any compact subset of  $X$  is ultrametrizable (see [13, Theorem 4.3.2]). If  $X$  is locally compact, then the normed space  $C_0(X; \mathbb{K})$  of all continuous functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  vanishing at infinity (i.e. such that the set  $\{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is compact for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) with the sup-norm is complete.

Van der Put proved the following theorem (see [14, Theorem 5.22]):

*Let  $k_0$  be the prime field of the residue class field  $k$  of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $X$  be a locally compact ultraregular space and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a maximal collection of open compact subsets of  $X$  whose  $k_0$ -valued characteristic functions are linearly independent.*

*Then the  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  form an orthonormal basis in the Banach space  $C_0(X; \mathbb{K})$ .*

It is not hard to show that if an ultraregular space  $X$  is locally compact and the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is metrizable, then  $X$  is the sum of a sequence  $(X_n)$  of pairwise disjoint open compact subsets of  $X$  and  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is isomorphic to the product of the Banach spaces  $C(X_n; \mathbb{K}), n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; in particular, by the van der Put theorem,  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  has an orthogonal basis consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of open compact subsets of  $X$  (see Corollary 7).

Nevertheless there exist non locally compact ultraregular spaces  $X$  such that the locally convex space  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is a Fréchet space (see Proposition 9).

Using the van der Put theorem we shall prove our main result (see Theorem 11):

*Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space such that the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is a Fréchet space. Then  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  has an orthogonal basis consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of clopen subsets of  $X$  and it is isomorphic to the product  $C(X_1; \mathbb{K}) \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_0(X'_n; \mathbb{K})$  of Banach spaces, where  $(X_n)$  is an increasing fundamental sequence of compact subsets of  $X$ , and  $X'_n$  is the locally compact ultraregular space  $(X_{n+1} \setminus X_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

## 2 Preliminaries

$O_{\mathbb{K}} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{K} : |\alpha| < 1\}$  is a maximal ideal in the subring  $B_{\mathbb{K}} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{K} : |\alpha| \leq 1\}$  of  $\mathbb{K}$ , so  $k = B_{\mathbb{K}}/O_{\mathbb{K}}$  is a field. This field is the *residue class field* of  $\mathbb{K}$ . The natural homomorphism  $B_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{K}}/O_{\mathbb{K}}$  is usually written  $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$ .

Let  $E$  be a linear space. A subset  $A$  of  $E$  is *absolutely convex* if for all  $\alpha, \beta \in B_{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $x, y \in A$  we have  $\alpha x + \beta y \in A$ .

A *seminorm* on a linear space  $E$  is a function  $p : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $p(\alpha x) = |\alpha|p(x)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}, x \in E$  and  $p(x + y) \leq \max\{p(x), p(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in E$  (*the strong triangle inequality*). A seminorm  $p$  on  $E$  is a *norm* if  $\ker p = \{0\}$ .

(One may consider usual seminorms on  $E$  that satisfy the usual triangle inequality. However, such seminorms are pathological from the viewpoint of duality theory, see [12], and therefore they are ignored in the theory of locally convex spaces over non-archimedean fields)

For any seminorm  $p$  on  $E$  the map  $\bar{p} : E_p \rightarrow [0, \infty), x + \ker p \rightarrow p(x)$  is a norm on  $E_p = (E/\ker p)$ .

The set of all continuous seminorms on a lcs  $E$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ . A family  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(E)$  is a *base* in  $\mathcal{P}(E)$  if for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  there exists  $q \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $q \geq p$ .

A lcs  $E$  is *of countable type* if for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  the normed space  $(E_p, \bar{p})$  contains a linearly dense countable subset.

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be locally convex spaces. The space of all linear continuous maps from  $E$  to  $F$  is denoted by  $L(E; F)$ . An operator  $T \in L(E, F)$  is an *isomorphism* if  $T$  is injective, surjective and the inverse map  $T^{-1}$  is continuous.  $E$  is *isomorphic* to  $F$  if there exists an isomorphism  $T : E \rightarrow F$ . The topological dual of a lcs  $E$  we denote by  $E'$ .

A *Fréchet space* is a metrizable complete lcs.

A *Banach space* is a normed Fréchet space. Any infinite-dimensional Banach space  $E$  of countable type is isomorphic to the Banach space  $c_0 = c_0(\mathbb{N}; \mathbb{K})$  of all sequences in  $\mathbb{K}$  converging to zero with the sup-norm and any closed subspace of  $c_0$  is complemented (see [14, Theorem 3.16]).

A sequence  $(x_n)$  in a lcs  $E$  is a *basis* in  $E$  if each  $x \in E$  can be written uniquely as  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x_n$  with  $(\alpha_n) \subset \mathbb{K}$ . If additionally the coefficient functionals  $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, x \rightarrow \alpha_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$  are continuous, then  $(x_n)$  is a *Schauder basis* in  $E$ .

Let  $E$  be a lcs. A sequence  $(x_n) \subset E$  is *orthogonal with respect to*  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(E)$  if  $p(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p(\alpha_i x_i)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{B}, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{K}$ .

Every Schauder basis in a Fréchet space  $F$  is orthogonal with respect to some (non-decreasing) base  $(p_k)$  in  $\mathcal{P}(F)$  ([4], Proposition 1.7).

### 3 Results

Let  $\Gamma$  denote an arbitrary non empty index set. Clearly, the family  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  of all non empty finite subsets of  $\Gamma$  is directed by set inclusion. Let  $Z$  be a lcs.

We say that  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset Z$  is

- *strictly convergent to 0 in  $Z$*  if for every neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 in  $Z$  the set  $\{\alpha \in \Gamma : z_\alpha \notin U\}$  is finite.
- *summable in  $Z$*  if the net  $(\sum_{\alpha \in A} z_\alpha)_{A \in \mathcal{F}}$  is convergent in  $Z$ ; then by the sum of  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  we mean the limit of the net  $(\sum_{\alpha \in A} z_\alpha)_{A \in \mathcal{F}}$  and denote it by  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} z_\alpha$ .
- *orthogonal with respect to  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(Z)$*  if

$$\forall p \in \mathcal{B} \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathbb{K} : p \left( \sum_{\alpha \in A} t_\alpha z_\alpha \right) = \max_{\alpha \in A} p(t_\alpha z_\alpha).$$

- *orthogonal in  $Z$*  if it is orthogonal with respect to some base  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ .
- an *orthogonal basis in  $Z$*  if it is orthogonal and linearly dense in  $Z$  and  $z_\alpha \neq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .
- a *Schauder basis in  $Z$*  if there exists  $(z'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset Z'$  such that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} z'_\alpha(z) z_\alpha = z$  for every  $z \in Z$  and  $z'_\alpha(z_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ; then for every  $(t_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{K}$  and  $z \in Z$  with  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} t_\alpha z_\alpha = z$  we have  $t_\alpha = z'_\alpha(z)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $(Z, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. We say that  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset Z$  is an *orthonormal basis* in  $Z$ , if it is linearly dense in  $Z$ ,  $\|z_\alpha\| = 1$  for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathbb{K} : \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in A} t_\alpha z_\alpha \right\| = \max_{\alpha \in A} |t_\alpha|.$$

Clearly, any orthonormal basis  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in  $Z$  is an orthogonal basis in  $Z$ .

By the strong triangle inequality a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  in a Fréchet space  $Z$  is convergent if and only if the sequence  $(z_n)$  is convergent to 0. We can generalize this result.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $Z$  be a Fréchet space. Then  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset Z$  is summable in  $Z$  if and only if  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is strictly convergent to 0 in  $Z$ .*

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $z = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} z_\alpha$  and let  $U$  be an absolutely convex neighbourhood of 0 in  $Z$ . Then there is  $\Gamma_U \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  such that for every  $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_U$  we have  $(z - \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_0} z_\alpha) \in U$ . Hence for  $\sigma \in (\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_U)$  and for  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_U \cup \{\sigma\}$  we have

$$z_\sigma = \left( z - \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_U} z_\alpha \right) - \left( z - \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_0} z_\alpha \right) \in U - U = U.$$

Thus the set  $\{\sigma \in \Gamma : z_\sigma \notin U\} \subset \Gamma_U$  is finite, so  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is strictly convergent to 0 in  $Z$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Clearly, the set  $\Gamma^* = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : z_\alpha \neq 0\}$  is countable and  $\lim_n z_{\alpha_n} = 0$ , where  $\{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \Gamma^*$ . Thus the series  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty z_{\alpha_n}$  is convergent in  $Z$ . Put  $z = \sum_{n=1}^\infty z_{\alpha_n}$ . Let  $U$  be an absolutely convex neighbourhood of 0 in  $Z$ . Let  $\Gamma_U = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : z_\alpha \notin U\}$  and  $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_U$ . Then

$$z - \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_0} z_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma^*} z_\alpha - \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma^*} z_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in (\Gamma^* \setminus \Gamma_0)} z_\alpha \in U.$$

Thus  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is summable in  $Z$  and  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} z_\alpha = z$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset \mathbb{K}$ . Let  $Z$  be a Banach space and let  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset Z$  with  $\|z_\alpha\| = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then  $(t_\alpha z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is summable in  $Z$  if and only if  $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in c_0(\Gamma; \mathbb{K})$  i.e. the set  $\{\alpha \in \Gamma : |t_\alpha| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is finite for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

We have the following generalizations of [4, Propositions 1.4 and 1.7].

**Proposition 3.** Any orthogonal basis  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in a lcs  $Z$  is a Schauder basis in  $Z$ .

**Proof.** The linear span  $S$  of  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is dense in  $Z$ . Clearly,  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is orthogonal with respect to some base  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathbb{K}$  with  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} t_\alpha z_\alpha = 0$ . Then  $\max_{\alpha \in A} q(t_\alpha z_\alpha) = q(\sum_{\alpha \in A} t_\alpha z_\alpha) = 0$  for every  $q \in \mathcal{B}$ . Hence  $t_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ . Thus  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is linearly independent, so there exists  $(z_\alpha^*)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset S^*$  with  $z_\alpha^*(z_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} z_\alpha^*(z) z_\alpha = z$  for every  $z \in S$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  we put

$$P_A^* : S \rightarrow S, z \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in A} z_\alpha^*(z) z_\alpha.$$

Then  $\max_{A \in \mathcal{F}} q(P_A^*(z)) \leq q(z)$  for all  $z \in S$  and  $q \in \mathcal{B}$ . Hence  $(z_\alpha^*)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset S'$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  we put

$$P_A' : Z \rightarrow Z, z \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in A} z_\alpha'(z) z_\alpha,$$

where  $z_\alpha' \in Z'$  is a unique extension of  $z_\alpha^*$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Clearly  $\max_{A \in \mathcal{F}} q(P'_A(z)) \leq q(z)$  for all  $z \in Z$  and  $q \in \mathcal{B}$ .

We shall prove that  $(P'_A(z))_{A \in \mathcal{F}}$  is convergent to  $z$  in  $Z$  for every  $z \in Z$ . Let  $z \in Z$  and  $q \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then there is a non-zero element  $s \in S$  with  $q(z - s) < 1$ . Put  $A_S = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : z'_\alpha(s) \neq 0\}$ . Clearly  $A_S \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $A \supset A_S$ . Then  $P'_A(s) = s$ , so

$$q(P'_A(z) - z) = q(P'_A(z - s) - (z - s)) \leq q(z - s) < 1.$$

It follows that  $\lim_{A \in \mathcal{F}} P'_A(z) = z$  for every  $z \in Z$ . Thus  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} z'_\alpha(z) z_\alpha = z$  for every  $z \in Z$ , so  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a Schauder basis in  $Z$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Any Schauder basis  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in a Fréchet space  $Z$  is an orthogonal basis in  $Z$ .*

**Proof.** There exists  $(z'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset Z'$  such that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} z'_\alpha(z) z_\alpha = z$  for every  $z \in Z$  and  $z'_\alpha(z_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Let  $z \in Z$  and  $q \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$ . Then  $\lim_{A \in \mathcal{F}} P_A(z) = z$ , where

$$P_A : Z \rightarrow Z, z \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in A} z'_\alpha(z) z_\alpha$$

for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus there exists  $A_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $q(P_A(z) - z) < 1$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $A \supset A_0$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A_1 = A \cup A_0$  and  $A_2 = A_0 \setminus A$ . Then

$$P_A(z) = P_{A_1}(z) - P_{A_2}(z) = (P_{A_1}(z) - z) + z - P_{A_2}(z),$$

so

$$q(P_A(z)) \leq \max\{1, q(z), q(P_{A_2}(z))\} \leq \max\{1, q(z), C(z)\},$$

where  $C(z) = \max\{q(P_{\{\alpha\}}(z)) : \alpha \in A_0\}$ . Thus  $(P_A)_{A \in \mathcal{F}}$  is pointwise bounded; so  $P_A$  for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  are equicontinuous, by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem (see [13, Theorems 7.1.3 and 7.1.5]). Then for every  $q \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$  the seminorm

$$q^* : Z \rightarrow [0, \infty), z \rightarrow \sup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} q(P_{\{\alpha\}}(z))$$

is well defined, continuous and  $q^* \geq q$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B} = \{q^* : q \in \mathcal{P}(Z)\}$  is a base of  $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ . Moreover  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is orthogonal with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ , since for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathbb{K}$  we have

$$q^* \left( \sum_{\alpha \in A} t_\alpha z_\alpha \right) = \max_{\alpha \in A} q(t_\alpha z_\alpha) = \max_{\alpha \in A} q^*(t_\alpha z_\alpha). \quad \square$$

In the proofs of Proposition 6 and Theorem 11 we shall need the following generalization of [13, Theorem 9.2.4(i)].

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $E_i$  for  $i \in I$  be a lcs with an orthogonal basis  $(e_{i,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_i}$ . For  $i \in I$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma_i$  we put  $e_{(i,\alpha)} = (f_{j,\alpha})_{j \in I}$ , where  $f_{j,\alpha} = e_{i,\alpha}$  if  $j = i$  and  $f_{j,\alpha} = 0$  if  $j \neq i$ . Then  $(e_{(i,\alpha)})_{i \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma_i}$  is an orthogonal basis in the lcs  $E = \prod_{i \in I} E_i$  (with the product topology).*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $(e_{(i,\alpha)})_{i \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma_i}$  is linearly dense in  $E$  and  $(e_{(i,\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_i}$  is orthogonal with respect to some base  $\mathcal{B}_i$  in  $\mathcal{P}(E_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ .

For any non empty finite subset  $J$  of  $I$  and  $p = (p_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{B}_j$  the functional

$$p^* : E \rightarrow [0, \infty), (x_i)_{i \in I} \rightarrow \max_{j \in J} p_j(x_j)$$

is a continuous seminorm on  $E$ ; it is easy to check that the family  $\mathcal{B}$  of all these seminorms forms a base in  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ . We shall prove that  $(e_{(i,\alpha)})_{i \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma_i}$  is orthogonal with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $J$  be a non empty finite subset of  $I$  and let  $p = (p_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{B}_j$ . Let  $J_0$  be a finite subset of  $I$ , let  $A_j$  be a finite subset of  $\Gamma_j$  and let  $(t_{j,\alpha})_{\alpha \in A_j} \subset \mathbb{K}$  for  $j \in J_0$ . Put  $J_1 = J_0 \cap J$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} p^*\left(\sum_{j \in J_0} \sum_{\alpha \in A_j} t_{j,\alpha} e_{(j,\alpha)}\right) &= \max_{j \in J_1} p_j\left(\sum_{\alpha \in A_j} t_{j,\alpha} e_{j,\alpha}\right) = \max_{j \in J_1} \max_{\alpha \in A_j} p_j(t_{j,\alpha} e_{j,\alpha}) \\ &= \max_{j \in J_1} \max_{\alpha \in A_j} p^*(t_{j,\alpha} e_{(j,\alpha)}) = \max_{j \in J_0} \max_{\alpha \in A_j} p^*(t_{j,\alpha} e_{(j,\alpha)}), \end{aligned}$$

since  $p^*(e_{(j,\alpha)}) = 0$  for  $j \in (J_0 \setminus J_1), \alpha \in A_j$ .  $\square$

By  $k_0$  we denote the prime field of the residue field  $k$  of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space. The family of all clopen (i.e. open and closed) subsets of  $X$  we denote by  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . The family of all open and compact subsets of  $X$  we denote by  $\mathcal{F}_c(X)$ . The  $k_0$ -valued characteristic function of  $U \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  we denote by  $1_U^{k_0}$ ; similarly we define  $1_U^{\mathbb{K}}$ . Let  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset \mathcal{F}_c(X)$ . We say that  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is  $k_0$ -independent in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X)$ , if  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{k_0})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is linearly independent. If  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a maximal  $k_0$ -independent set in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X)$ , we call it a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X)$ .

It is easy to see that an ultraregular space  $X$  is locally compact if the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  has an orthogonal basis  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of open compact subsets of  $X$ . We do not know whether for any locally compact ultraregular space  $X$  the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  has an orthogonal basis  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of open compact subsets of  $X$ . Nevertheless we have the following.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact locally compact ultraregular space. Then  $X$  has a partition  $(X_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{F}_c(X)$ . The lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is isomorphic to the product*

$\prod_{i \in I} C(X_i; \mathbb{K})$  of Banach spaces. Let  $(U_{i,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_i}$  be a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_i)$  for  $i \in I$ . Then  $(1_{U_{i,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{i \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma_i}$  is an orthogonal basis in  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  (consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of open compact subsets of  $X$ ).

**Proof.** By [6, Theorem 5.1.27],  $X$  has a partition  $(X_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$  consisting of clopen Lindelöf subspaces. Let  $\beta \in B$ . Then  $X_\beta$  is a locally compact ultraregular Lindelöf space. Thus the family of all open compact subsets of  $X_\beta$  is an open cover of  $X_\beta$ , so it has a countable subcover of  $X_\beta$ . It follows that  $X_\beta$  has a countable partition consisting of open compact subsets. Thus  $X$  has a partition  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  consisting of open compact subsets. It is easy to see that the linear map

$$T : C_c(X; \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} C(X_i; \mathbb{K}), f \rightarrow (f|X_i)_{i \in I}$$

is an isomorphism. By the van der Put theorem and Proposition 5 we get the last part of Proposition 6.  $\square$

By Proposition 6 and its proof we get

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact ultraregular space such that the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is metrizable. Then  $X$  has a partition  $(X_n) \subset \mathcal{F}_c(X)$ . The lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is isomorphic to the product  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C(X_n; \mathbb{K})$  of Banach spaces. Let  $(U_{n,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_n}$  be a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(1_{U_{n,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \Gamma_n}$  is an orthogonal basis in  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$ . This basis is countable if and only if  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is of countable type.*

We have also the following

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space such that the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is of countable type and metrizable. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is locally compact;
- (2)  $X$  has a countable partition  $(X_n)$  consisting of open compact subsets;
- (3)  $X$  is ultrametrizable.

**Proof.**  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is metrizable, so  $X$  has a fundamental sequence  $(Y_n)$  of compact subsets.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $X$  is locally compact, so there exists an increasing sequence  $(Z_n)$  of open compact subsets of  $X$ , such that  $Y_n \subset Z_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $X_1 = Z_1$  and  $X_n = (Z_n \setminus Z_{n-1})$  for  $n > 1$ . Clearly,  $(X_n)$  is a partition of  $X$  consisting of open compact subsets.



(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is of countable type, so the sets  $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are ultrametrizable; clearly  $X = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ . Thus  $X$  is ultrametrizable, by [6, Theorem 4.2.1] and its proof.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose, by contrary, that  $X$  is not locally compact. Then there exists an  $x \in X$  such that the closed ball  $B(x; 1/n)$  in  $X$  is not compact for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $B(x; 1/n) \not\subset Y_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x_n \in (B(x; 1/n) \setminus Y_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the sequence  $(x_n)$  is convergent to  $x$  in  $X$ , so the set  $A = (\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\})$  is compact in  $X$ . Thus  $A \subset Y_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; a contradiction.  $\square$

Now we shall prove the following.

**Proposition 9.** *There exist non locally compact ultraregular spaces  $X$  such that the locally convex space  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is a Fréchet space.*

**Proof.** Let  $(D_n)$  be a sequence of infinite compact ultraregular spaces. Let  $d_k$  be an accumulation point of  $D_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ , where  $X_n = \prod_{k=1}^n D_k \times \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{d_k\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $x = (x_k) \in X$  we put  $d(x) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} : x_k = d_k \text{ for all } k \geq i\}$ .

Consider on  $X \subset \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$  the topology  $\tau$  generated by the family of all subsets of  $X$  of the form  $X \cap \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k$ , where  $U_k$  is a clopen subset of  $D_k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The space  $X = (X, \tau)$  is ultraregular, since the set  $U = X \cap \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k$  is clopen in  $X$ , if  $U_k$  is a clopen subset of  $D_k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, let  $x = (x_k) \in (X \setminus U)$ . Put  $V = X \cap \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k$ , where  $V_k = U_k$  if  $x_k \in U_k$  and  $V_k = (D_k \setminus U_k)$ , otherwise. Then  $V$  is an open subset of  $X$  and  $x \in V \subset (X \setminus U)$ , so  $U$  is clopen in  $X$ .

We shall prove that  $(X_n)$  is a fundamental sequence of compact subsets of  $X$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The subset  $X_n$  of  $X$  is compact, since  $\tau|_{X_n}$  is the product topology on  $X_n$ . Let  $K$  be a subset of  $X$  such that  $K \not\subset X_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we can choose inductively a sequence  $(x_n) \subset K, x_n = (x_{n,k})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $1 < d(x_n) < d(x_{n+1})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $m_n = d(x_n) - 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x_0 = (x_{0,k})$  be an arbitrary element of  $K$  and let  $n_0 = d(x_0)$ . Let  $V_{m_n}$  be a clopen neighbourhood of  $d_{m_n}$  in  $D_{m_n}$  with  $x_{n,m_n} \notin V_{m_n}$  for  $n \geq n_0$  and let  $V_k = D_k$  for all  $k \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{m_n : n \geq n_0\})$ . Then the set  $V = K \cap \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k$  is a clopen neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $K$  and  $x_n \notin V$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Thus  $x_0$  is not an accumulation point of the set  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Thus  $K$  has an infinite subset without accumulation points in  $K$ , so  $K$  is not compact. It follows that  $(X_n)$  is a fundamental sequence of compact subsets of  $X$ , so  $X$  is hemicompact.

Now we shall prove that  $X$  is a  $k_0$ -space.

Let  $U$  be a subset of  $X$  such that  $U \cap X_n$  is open in  $X_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $U$  is open in  $X$ . Indeed, let  $x = (x_k) \in U$  and  $m = d(x)$ . The set  $U \cap X_m$  is open in  $X_m$  and  $x \in U \cap X_m$ , so for every  $1 \leq k \leq m$  there is a clopen neighbourhood  $U_k$  of  $x_k$  in  $D_k$  such that

$$U_{(m)} := \prod_{k=1}^m U_k \times \prod_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \{d_k\} \subset U \cap X_m.$$

Let  $y = (y_i) \in U_{(m)} \subset U \cap X_{m+1}$ . The set  $U \cap X_{m+1}$  is open in  $X_{m+1}$ , so for every  $1 \leq k \leq m+1$  there is a clopen neighbourhood  $V_{y,k}$  of  $y_k$  in  $D_k$  such that  $\prod_{k=1}^{m+1} V_{y,k} \times \prod_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \{d_k\} \subset U \cap X_{m+1}$ . The family  $\{\prod_{k=1}^m V_{y,k} : y \in U_{(m)}\}$  is an open cover of the compact set  $\prod_{k=1}^m U_k$ , so for some finite subset  $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$  of  $U_{(m)}$  we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^m U_k \subset \bigcup \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m V_{y_j,k} : 1 \leq j \leq s \right\}.$$

Then  $U_{m+1} := \bigcap_{j=1}^s V_{y_j,m+1}$  is a clopen neighbourhood of  $d_{m+1}$  in  $D_{m+1}$  such that

$$U_{(m+1)} := \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} U_k \times \prod_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \{d_k\} \subset U \cap X_{m+1}.$$

This way we can inductively construct a clopen neighbourhood  $U_{m+i}$  of  $d_{m+i}$  in  $D_{m+i}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$U_{(n)} := \prod_{k=1}^n U_k \times \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{d_k\} \subset U \cap X_n$$

for any  $n \geq m$ . Hence  $x \in X \cap \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k \subset U$ ; so  $U$  is open in  $X$ .

It follows that  $X$  is a  $k_0$ -space. Indeed, let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  be a function such that  $f|_{X_n}$  is continuous for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $W$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then  $f^{-1}(W) \cap X_n = (f|_{X_n})^{-1}(W)$  is open in  $X_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $f^{-1}(W)$  is open in  $X$ . Thus  $f$  is continuous.

Since  $\{d_k\}$  is not open in  $D_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the subsets  $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , have empty interiors in  $X$ . Thus any compact subset of  $X$  has empty interior. In particular,  $X$  is not locally compact. By [13, Theorem 3.7.9], the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is a Fréchet space.  $\square$

**Remark 10.** *Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space constructed in the proof of Proposition 9. (1) By [13, Theorem 4.3.2], the Fréchet space  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is of countable type*

if and only if the spaces  $D_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are ultrametrizable; (2) For any non empty compact subset  $F$  of  $X$  the  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic function  $1_F^{\mathbb{K}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  of  $F$  is not continuous.

By [5, Proposition 1.2], any compact ultraregular space  $X$  is ultranormal i.e. any two disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  are contained in disjoint clopen subsets of  $X$ . Hence, using [5, Lemma 1.1], we infer that  $\mathcal{F}_c(Y) = \{V \cap Y : V \in \mathcal{F}_c(X)\}$  for any closed subspace  $Y$  of a compact ultraregular space  $X$ .

Developing some ideas of [15] we shall prove our main result

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space. Assume that the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is a Fréchet space. Then  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  has an orthogonal basis consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of clopen subsets of  $X$  and it is isomorphic to the product  $C(X_1, \mathbb{K}) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} C_0(X'_k; \mathbb{K})$  of Banach spaces, where  $(X_n)$  is an increasing fundamental sequence of compact subsets of  $X$  and  $X'_k = (X_{k+1} \setminus X_k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.** (A). The space  $E = C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is metrizable, so  $X$  is hemicompact. Let  $(X_n)$  be an increasing fundamental sequence of compact subsets of  $X$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\emptyset \neq X_n \subsetneq X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we put

$$p_n : E \rightarrow [0, \infty), f \rightarrow \sup_{x \in X_n} |f(x)|;$$

clearly  $(p_n)$  is an increasing base in  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ .

Put  $E_n = C(X_n; \mathbb{K})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By [11, Theorem 1.1], the continuous linear map

$$\varphi_n : E \rightarrow E_n, f \rightarrow f|_{X_n},$$

is surjective for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the linear map

$$\pi_n : E_{n+1} \rightarrow E_n, f \rightarrow f|_{X_n},$$

is continuous for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The subspace

$$F = \{(f_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n : \pi_n(f_{n+1}) = f_n \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

of the Fréchet space  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is linear and closed. The linear map

$$\varphi : E \rightarrow F, \varphi(f) = (\varphi_n(f))$$

is continuous and injective.

We shall prove that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Let  $g = (g_n) \in F$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $f_n \in E$  such that  $g_n = \varphi_n(f_n)$ . Let  $h_n = f_{n+1} - f_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $h_n \in \ker \varphi_n = \ker p_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; so  $h_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $E$ . Thus the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n$  is convergent in  $E$  to some element  $h_0 \in E$ . For  $h = f_1 + h_0$  we have  $\varphi(h) = g$ . Indeed, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq n$  we have  $\varphi_n(h_m) = 0$ , since  $\varphi_m(h_m) = 0$ . Thus  $\varphi_n(h) = \varphi_n(f_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i < n} h_i) = \varphi_n(f_n) = g_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; so  $\varphi(h) = g$ .

By the open mapping theorem the operator  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

(B). We shall prove that  $F$  is isomorphic to the Fréchet space  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ , where  $F_1 = E_1$  and  $F_n = \ker \pi_{n-1}$  for  $n > 1$ .

Let  $X'_k = (X_{k+1} \setminus X_k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall construct inductively a  $k_0$ -base  $(U_{k,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $\Gamma_k \subset \Gamma_{k+1}$  and  $U_{k+1,\alpha} \cap X_k = U_{k,\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma_k$  and  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in (\Gamma_{k+1} \setminus \Gamma_k)}$  is a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X'_k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $(U_{k,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k}$  be a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_k)$ . For every  $\alpha \in \Gamma_k$  there exists a clopen subset  $U_{k+1,\alpha}$  of  $X_{k+1}$  such that  $U_{k+1,\alpha} \cap X_k = U_{k,\alpha}$ . Clearly,  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k}$  is  $k_0$ -independent in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_{k+1})$ .

Let  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma'_k}$  be a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X'_k)$ . Clearly,  $\Gamma'_k \cap \Gamma_k = \emptyset$  and  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma'_k}$  is  $k_0$ -independent in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_{k+1})$ . Put  $\Gamma_{k+1} = \Gamma'_k \cup \Gamma_k$ . Note that  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{k+1}}$  is  $k_0$ -independent in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_{k+1})$ . Indeed, let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma_k$ ,  $\alpha_{n+1}, \dots, \alpha_{n+m} \in \Gamma'_k$ ,  $t_1, \dots, t_{n+m} \in k_0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} t_i 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha_i}}^{k_0} = 0$ . Then  $(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha_i}}^{k_0})|_{X_k} = 0$ , since  $(\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} t_i 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha_i}}^{k_0})|_{X_k} = 0$ . Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i 1_{U_{k,\alpha_i}}^{k_0} = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha_i} \cap X_k}^{k_0} = 0$ , so  $t_1 = \dots = t_n = 0$ . Therefore  $\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} t_i 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha_i}}^{k_0} = 0$ , so  $t_{n+1} = \dots = t_{n+m} = 0$ .

To prove that  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{k+1}}$  is a  $k_0$ -base of  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_{k+1})$  it is enough to show that  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{k+1}}$  is linearly dense in  $E_{k+1}$ .

By the van der Put theorem,  $(1_{U_{k,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k}$  is an orthonormal basis in the Banach space  $E_k$ . By Proposition 3, there exists  $(h_{k,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k} \subset E'_k$ , such that  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k} h_{k,\alpha}(f) 1_{U_{k,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}$  for every  $f \in E_k$  and  $h_{k,\alpha}(1_{U_{k,\beta}}^{\mathbb{K}}) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_k$ . Moreover, we have  $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k} |h_{k,\alpha}(f)|$  for every  $f \in E_k$ . By Corollary 2, the map

$$T_k : E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}, f \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k} h_{k,\alpha}(f) 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}$$

is well defined. Clearly  $T_k$  is linear and continuous. Moreover,  $\pi_k \circ T_k$  is the identity map on  $E_k$ . Indeed, for  $f \in E_k$  we have

$$\pi_k(T_k f) = \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k} h_{k,\alpha}(f) 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}} \right) |_{X_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k} h_{k,\alpha}(f) 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha} \cap X_k}^{\mathbb{K}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k} h_{k,\alpha}(f) 1_{U_{k,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}} = f.$$

By the van der Put theorem,  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}} |_{X'_k})_{\alpha \in \Gamma'_k}$  is an orthonormal basis in the Banach space  $G_k = C_0(X'_k, \mathbb{K})$ .

We shall prove that the map  $S_k : F_{k+1} \rightarrow G_k, f \rightarrow f|X'_k$  is an isometric isomorphism.

$S_k$  is well defined, since for all  $f \in F_{k+1}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\{x \in X'_k : |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} = \{x \in X_{k+1} : |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Clearly,  $S_k$  is a linear isometry.  $S_k$  is surjective. Indeed, let  $g \in G_k$ , let  $f : X_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  with  $f|X_k = 0$  and  $f|X'_k = g$  and let  $V$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{K}$ . If  $0 \in V$ , then the set  $A = \{x \in X'_k : g(x) \in (\mathbb{K} \setminus V)\}$  is compact and  $f^{-1}(V) = (X_{k+1} \setminus A)$ . If  $0 \notin V$ , then  $f^{-1}(V) = g^{-1}(V)$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $X_{k+1}$ , so  $f$  is continuous. Hence  $f \in F_{k+1}$  and  $S_k(f) = g$ . It follows that  $S_k$  is an isometric isomorphism.

Hence  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma'_k}$  is an orthonormal basis in the Banach space  $F_{k+1}$ .

We have  $E_{k+1} = T_k(E_k) + F_{k+1}$ . Indeed, let  $f \in E_{k+1}$  and  $g = f - T_k(\pi_k f)$ . Then  $\pi_k(g) = \pi_k(f) - (\pi_k \circ T_k)(\pi_k f) = 0$ , so  $g \in F_{k+1}$  and  $f \in T_k(E_k) + F_{k+1}$ . Thus  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{k+1}}$  is linearly dense in  $E_{k+1}$ , since  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k}$  and  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma'_k}$  are linearly dense in  $T_k(E_k)$  and  $F_{k+1}$ , respectively.

It follows that  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{k+1}}$  is a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_{k+1})$ . Indeed, we have shown that  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{k+1}}$  is  $k_0$ -independent in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_{k+1})$ , so there exists a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_{k+1})$  of the form  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \bar{\Gamma}_{k+1}}$  for some set  $\bar{\Gamma}_{k+1} \supset \Gamma_{k+1}$ . By the van der Put theorem,  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \bar{\Gamma}_{k+1}}$  is an orthogonal basis in  $E_{k+1}$ . Hence  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{k+1}^*}$  is not linearly dense in  $E_{k+1}$  for any proper subset  $\Gamma_{k+1}^*$  of  $\bar{\Gamma}_{k+1}$ . Thus  $\Gamma_{k+1} = \bar{\Gamma}_{k+1}$ , so  $(U_{k+1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{k+1}}$  is a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_{k+1})$ .

Next we shall prove that the map  $T : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \rightarrow F$ ,

$$(f_n) \rightarrow (f_1, T_1 f_1 + f_2, \dots, (T_n \circ \dots \circ T_1)(f_1) + (T_n \circ \dots \circ T_2)(f_2) + \dots + T_n f_n + f_{n+1}, \dots)$$

is an isomorphism. Clearly  $T$  is well defined, linear and injective.

We show that  $T$  is surjective. Let  $(g_n) \in F$ . Put  $f_1 = g_1$ . Then  $g_2 - T_1 f_1 \in F_2$ ; so there exists an  $f_2 \in F_2$  such that  $g_2 = T_1 f_1 + f_2$ . Assume that for some  $n \geq 1$  we have a sequence  $(f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} F_i$  with  $g_{n+1} = (T_n \circ \dots \circ T_1)(f_1) + \dots + T_n f_n + f_{n+1}$ . Then  $g_{n+2} - T_{n+1} g_{n+1} \in F_{n+2}$ . Hence there exists an  $f_{n+2}$  in  $F_{n+2}$  such that

$$g_{n+2} = T_{n+1} g_{n+1} + f_{n+2} = (T_{n+1} \circ \dots \circ T_1)(f_1) + \dots + T_{n+1} f_{n+1} + f_{n+2}.$$

Thus we can construct inductively a sequence  $(f_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  with  $T((f_n)) = (g_n)$ .

The linear maps  $T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are continuous and the spaces  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  and  $F$  have the product topologies. It follows that the map  $T$  is continuous. By the open

mapping theorem,  $T$  is an isomorphism; so  $E$  is isomorphic to the countable product  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  and so to the product  $C(X_1, \mathbb{K}) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} C_0(X'_k; \mathbb{K})$  of Banach spaces with an orthonormal basis.

(C). Finally we shall prove that  $E$  has an orthogonal basis consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of clopen subsets of  $X$ .

Put  $\Gamma'_0 = \Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma'_k$ . Let  $k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma'_k$ . Let  $U_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{n,\alpha}$ , where  $U_{n,\alpha} = \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq n < k+1$ . If  $1 \leq m < k+1$  then  $U_\alpha \cap X_m = \emptyset = U_{m,\alpha}$ . If  $m \geq k+1$ , then  $U_\alpha \cap X_m = \bigcup_{n=m+1}^{\infty} U_{n,\alpha} \cap X_m = U_{m,\alpha}$ , since  $U_{n,\alpha} \subset U_{m,\alpha}$  for  $k < n \leq m$  and

$$U_{n,\alpha} \cap X_m = U_{n,\alpha} \cap X_{n-1} \cap \cdots \cap X_m = U_{n-1,\alpha} \cap X_{n-2} \cap \cdots \cap X_m = \cdots = U_{m,\alpha}$$

for  $n > m$ . It follows that  $U_\alpha \cap K$  is clopen in  $K$  for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ . Thus  $U_\alpha$  is clopen in  $X$ , since  $X$  is a  $k_0$ -space (see [13, Theorem 3.7.6]).

For  $k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma'_k$  we put  $f_\alpha = (f_{n,\alpha})$ , where  $f_{n,\alpha} = 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}$  if  $n = k+1$  and  $f_{n,\alpha} = 0$ , otherwise. Then  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is an orthogonal basis in  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ , since  $(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma'_k}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $F_{k+1}$  for  $k \geq 0$  (see Proposition 5). Let  $k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma'_k$ . Then we have  $Tf_\alpha = (g_{n,\alpha})$ , where  $g_{n,\alpha} = 0 = 1_{U_{n,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}$  if  $1 \leq n < k+1$ ,  $g_{n,\alpha} = 1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}$  if  $n = k+1$ , and  $g_{n,\alpha} = (T_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{k+1})(1_{U_{k+1,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}) = 1_{U_{n,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}$  if  $n > k+1$ . Thus  $Tf_\alpha = (1_{U_{n,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}) = (1_{U_\alpha \cap X_n}^{\mathbb{K}}) = \varphi(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}})$ , so  $1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}} = (\varphi^{-1} \circ T)(f_\alpha)$ . The map  $\varphi^{-1} \circ T : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \rightarrow E$  is an isomorphism, so  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is an orthogonal basis in  $E$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space such that the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is a Fréchet space of countable type. Then any compact subset of  $X$  is ultrametrizable. Let  $(X_k)$  be an increasing fundamental sequence of compact subsets of  $X$ . By [10, Theorem 7.3], any nonempty closed subset  $Y$  of a metrizable compact ultraregular space  $Z$  is a retract of  $Z$  (i.e. there exists a continuous map  $r : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $r(y) = y$  for every  $y \in Y$ ). Thus for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a continuous map  $r_k : X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k$  such that  $r_k(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X_k$ . Then in the proof of Theorem 11, we can put  $U_{k+1,\alpha} = r_k^{-1}(U_{k,\alpha})$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma_k$  and

$$T_k : E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}, f \rightarrow f \circ r_k \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly,  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  has a countable orthogonal basis consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of clopen subsets of  $X$ .  $\square$

Using Theorem 11 we get the following.

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space. Assume that the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is a Fréchet space. Then for every increasing fundamental sequence  $(X_n)$  of compact subsets of  $X$  there exists  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that*

- (1)  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a Schauder basis in  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$ ;
- (2)  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}}|_{X_m})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_m}$  is an orthonormal basis in the Banach space  $C(X_m; \mathbb{K})$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\Gamma_m = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : U_\alpha \cap X_m \neq \emptyset\}$ ;
- (3)  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is orthogonal with respect to the base  $(p_m)$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X; \mathbb{K}))$ , where

$$p_m : C_c(X; \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow [0, \infty), f \rightarrow \sup_{x \in X_m} |f(x)|.$$

**Proof.** Let  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  be as in the proof of Theorem 11. Then  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is an orthogonal basis in  $E = C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$ . By Proposition 3 we get (1).

(2). Note that  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}}|_{X_m})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_m}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $E_m = C(X_m; \mathbb{K})$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}}|_{X_m} = 1_{U_\alpha \cap X_m}^{\mathbb{K}}|_{X_m} = 1_{U_{m,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma_m$ . We know that  $(U_{m,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_m}$  is a  $k_0$ -base in  $\mathcal{F}_c(X_m)$ , so  $(1_{U_{m,\alpha}}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_m}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $E_m$ .

(3). If  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in (\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_m) = \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \Gamma'_n$ , then  $U_\alpha \cap X_m = U_{m,\alpha} = \emptyset$ ; so  $1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}}|_{X_m} = 0$ .

It follows that the Schauder basis  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in  $E$  is orthogonal with respect to the base  $(p_m)$  in  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space such that the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  is a Fréchet space. Let  $(X_n)$  be an increasing fundamental sequence of compact subsets of  $X$ . Put  $X'_0 = X_1$  and  $X'_k = (X_{k+1} \setminus X_k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $\Gamma'_0 = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : U_\alpha \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\Gamma'_k = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : U_\alpha \cap X_k = \emptyset \neq U_\alpha \cap X_{k+1}\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}}|_{X'_k})_{\alpha \in \Gamma'_k}$  is an orthonormal basis in the Banach space  $C_0(X'_k; \mathbb{K})$  for  $k \geq 0$ , then  $(1_{U_\alpha}^{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is an orthogonal basis in  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  satisfying the conditions (1) - (3) of Proposition 12.*

We state the following problems.

**Problem 14.** *Let  $X$  be ultraregular (and locally compact). Does the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  have an orthogonal basis [consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of clopen (and compact) subsets of  $X$ ]?*

**Problem 15.** *Determine all ultraregular spaces  $X$  such that the lcs  $C_c(X; \mathbb{K})$  [is metrizable and] (a) has an [countable] orthogonal basis; (b) has an [countable] orthogonal basis consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of clopen subsets of  $X$ ;*

(c) has an [countable] orthogonal basis consisting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic functions of open compact subsets of  $X$ .

### Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank the referee for useful remarks and suggesting improvements.

## References

- [1] Bachman, G., Beckenstein, E. and Narici, L. – *Function algebras over valued fields*, Pacific J. Math., 44(1973), 45-58.
- [2] Bachman, G., Beckenstein, E., Narici, L. and Warner, S. – *Rings of continuous functions with values in a topological field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 204(1975), 91-112.
- [3] Beckenstein, E., Bachman, G. and Narici, L. – *Topological algebras of continuous functions over valued fields*, Studia Math., 48(1973), 119-127.
- [4] De Grande-De Kimpe, N., Kąkol, J., Perez-Garcia, C. and Schikhof, W.H. – *Orthogonal sequences in non-archimedean locally convex spaces*, Indag. Mathem., N.S., 11(2000), 187-195.
- [5] Ellis, R. – *Extending Continuous Functions on Zero-Dimensional Spaces*, Math. Ann., 186(1970), 114-122.
- [6] Engelking, R. – *General topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin 1989.
- [7] Govaerts, W. – *Bornological spaces of non-archimedean valued functions with compact-open topology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 78(1980), 132-134.
- [8] Govaerts, W. – *Locally convex spaces of non-archimedean valued continuous functions*, Pacific J. Math., 109(1983), 399-410.
- [9] Katsaras, A.K. – *Spaces of non-Archimedean valued functions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B, 5(1986), 603-621.
- [10] KeCHRIS, A.S., – *Classical descriptive set theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.



- [11] Narici, L. and Beckenstein, E. – *On continuous extensions*, Georgian Mathematical Journal, 3(1996), 565-570.
- [12] Martinez-Maurica, J. and Perez-Garcia, C. – *The Hahn-Banach extension property in a class of normed spaces*, Questiones Mathematicae, 8(1986), 335-341.
- [13] Perez-Garcia, C. and Shikhof, W.H. – *Locally convex spaces over non-archimedean valued fields*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, **119**, Cambridge University Press 2010.
- [14] Rooij, van A. C. M. – *Non-archimedean functional analysis*, Marcel Dekker, New York 1978.
- [15] Śliwa, W. – *On quotients of non-archimedean Fréchet spaces*, Math. Nachr., 281(2008), 147–154.

*Author's address:*

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Adam Mickiewicz University,  
ul. Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, POLAND;  
e-mail: sliwa@amu.edu.pl